

អត្ថិខំសុំសម្រះទិសាមញ្ញត្តិខត្តលាការកម្ពស់

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

ವಿಜ್ಞ ಕಾಳುಕು ವಿಚಾನಾಚಿಸಿಜಿ ನಿಜ್ಜ ಚಿನ್ನಾಣಗಳಿಗಳಿಗೆ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

អគ្គដ៏ស្ដី៩ម្រះសាលាដ៏មុខ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - "DUCH" TRIAL PUBLIC

Case File Nº 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC

28 April 2009, 0930H Trial Day 13

Before the Judges:

For the Trial Chamber:

For the Civil Parties:

NIL Nonn, Presiding
Silvia CARTWRIGHT
YA Sokhan
Yean-Marc LAVERGNE
HONG Kimsuon
TY Srinna
YUNG Phanit
KIM Mengkhy

THOU Mony Silke STUDZINSKY YOU Ottara (Reserve) Philippe CANONNE

Claudia FENZ (Reserve)

KONG Pisey
Alain WERNER

DUCH Phary SE Kolvuthy

LIM Suy-Hong For Court Management Section:
Matteo CRIPPA UCH Arun

Natacha WEXELS-RISER

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

TAN Senarong
Alexander BATES
PICH Sambath
Stuart FORD
PAK Chanlino

For the Accused Person KAING GUEK EAV

KAR Savuth Heleyn UÑAC

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page i

INDEX

WITNESSES

THE ACCUSED, KAING GUEK EAV

Questioning by Judge Lavergne resumes	page 2
Questioning by Judge Thou Mony commences	page 18
Questioning by Mr. Tan Senarong commences	page 30
Questioning by Mr. Bates commences	page 40

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page ii

List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language	
MR. BATES	English	
MR. CANONNE	French	
MR. KONG PISEY	Khmer	
JUDGE LAVERGNE	French	
MR. ROUX	French	
MR. TAN SENARONG	Khmer	
THE ACCUSED	Khmer	
THE PRESIDENT (NIL NONN, Presiding)	Khmer	
JUDGE THOU MONY	Khmer	
MR. WERNER	English	

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 1

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Judges enter courtroom)
- 3 [09.10.20]
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 The Trial Chamber is now back in session and continues to hear
- 6 the facts in relation to the establishment of S 21 and Ta Kmao
- 7 Prison. The Greffier, please report the attendance and absence
- 8 of the parties in this hearing today.
- 9 THE GREFFIER:
- 10 Your Honours, the parties attending this hearing were all present
- 11 this morning. Thank you.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 The Greffier, put on record the attendance for today for the
- 14 transcripts.
- 15 Next, the Trial Chamber hereby declares its decision on the
- 16 request by the Civil Party Group 1 yesterday.
- 17 The Trial Chamber rejects the request by the civil party group
- 18 number 1 to restrict the right of the lawyer for the defence from
- 19 intervening to correct the accused's testimony during the trial.
- 20 The reasons. The Internal Rules do not prohibit the lawyer for
- 21 the accused from giving the accused advice or consulting with him
- 22 at any time.
- 23 The Security Officer, please bring the accused to the dock.
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Before the hearing of the facts, the Trial Chamber reminds the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 2

- 1 parties that the questioning and hearings was forecast today on
- 2 the establishment of S-21, and the matter related to the
- 3 psychiatric hospital and prison, and on only the matter in
- 4 relation to S-21.
- 5 As for other facts, we will hear, following our agenda until to
- 6 the conclusion of the agenda, that we have seven facts
- 7 altogether, so the parties are reminded to follow this agenda so
- 8 that we can avoid any confusion that we will have the repeated
- 9 question for other facts. So, please, the parties, take note of
- 10 all these instructions.
- 11 To move on, Your Honour, Judges, do you have any questions to
- 12 make to the accused in relation to the facts for the hearing
- 13 today? If you do -- please Judge Lavergne.
- 14 [09.14.20]
- 15 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 16 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 17 QUESTIONING BY THE BENCH
- 18 BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 19 Q.I would like the accused to provide a few clarifications
- 20 concerning his activities before S-21 became operational. He
- 21 stated yesterday -- or you stated yesterday that you came to
- 22 Phnom Penh in June 1975 to follow a training program with one of
- 23 your collaborators, and you stated also that you remained in
- 24 Phnom Penh after this training.
- 25 Can you tell us if, as of that moment, you were offered to take

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 3

- 1 on responsibilities to manage a security centre in Phnom Penh or
- 2 were there other reasons for you to stay in Phnom Penh?
- 3 A. Your Honour, when I arrived in Phnom Penh it was on the
- 4 morning of the 21st, and on the 24th I attended the political
- 5 training session. Let me confirm that the political training
- 6 session, the superior would present the political documents for
- 7 four days; 24th, 25th, 26th and 27th. And after that, we
- 8 discussed those documents in groups in order to understand the
- 9 political details in those documents.
- 10 And after that, each participant had to write a revolutionary
- 11 biography and their their revolutionary view, and their own
- 12 revolutionary view. For the interpreter, the French said it's
- 13 the political life view of the revolution. We had to prepare a
- 14 life view of the revolution -- I apologize -- our life view of
- 15 the revolution and our biography. We prepared these two
- 16 documents for about three days before we hand it over. That is,
- 17 we read our own biography to all the comrades in the group to
- 18 listen to, and then those comrades would pose questions and we
- 19 would have to respond to those questions.
- 20 [09.19:07]
- 21 In conclusion, during each training, it took between 10 to 12
- 22 days. This is to give you a view on the training session during
- 23 the Communist regime.
- 24 So there were four of us; we were all members. Not only two but
- 25 four of us; I, myself, Brother Mam Nai, Comrade Sum, and Comrade

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 4

- 1 Meas. We were all members.
- 2 After the study session, Comrade Sum asked for permission to find
- 3 his wife and the children in Siem Reap. I asked Comrade Meas to
- 4 return to Amleang, and I was not sure at the time whether Brother
- 5 Mam Nai was with me at Phnom Penh at the time. Let me confirm,
- 6 the person who was with me, I was not sure whether it was Brother
- 7 Mam Nai or whether Brother Mam Nai went to Amleang with Comrade
- 8 Meas.
- 9 I stayed there and I had no duty assigned to me yet, and I was
- 10 waiting for the assignment. Later on, I saw Nat and he called me
- 11 to leave the train station and to stay at the former headquarters
- 12 -- (inaudible) headquarters.
- 13 [09.21.39]
- 14 Q.At this level, I would like to have a specifications
- 15 clarification concerning the content of your biography and of
- 16 your revolutionary opinions.
- 17 You told us that when you left M-13, you were disgusted by your
- 18 police work there. You told us, as well, that you were aware
- 19 that the confessions that were obtained at M-13 did not mirror --
- 20 or only mirrored very partially the truth, and I'd like to remind
- 21 you that you said previously that you have, in your family,
- 22 alliances with Lon Nol's family.
- 23 And did these elements appear in your revolutionary opinions or
- in your biography?
- 25 A. Thank you, Your Honour, for raising these questions for me to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 5

- 1 report to you.
- 2 The large view of the revolution and the revolutionary biography
- 3 were done after the training session.
- 4 [09.23.48]
- 5 And the large view -- in the large view of the revolution, they
- 6 are not right. My boredom or that I was fed up with the
- 7 revolutionary work, I only wrote according to what was provided
- 8 to me. From my recollection, the content of those training was
- 9 about the congratulations of the 17 April anniversary. So that
- 10 was my impression on the contents; it's about the great victory
- 11 of the 17 April.
- 12 And the second point is for us to sacrifice ourselves for the
- 13 collectivity.
- 14 And the third point is about our commitment to rebuild the
- 15 socialist country and to continue our revolutionary work.
- 16 Therefore, in my biography, regarding to my uncle who's wife was
- 17 the niece of Lon Nol, I did not write about this matter. I did
- 18 not report this matter in my biography because in that biography
- 19 they talk about only the direct blood relatives and about the
- 20 parents, the mother-in-law, the father-in-law and the wife. And
- 21 as for the uncle whose wife was the niece of Lon Nol, he was a
- 22 distant relative of my mother.
- 23 I already reported to Your Honour that I did not write this
- 24 matter down officially in my biography, but for some of the
- 25 party's members, I mentioned this matter to them in order to tell

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 6

- 1 them that I rather be imprisoned rather than to make alliance
- 2 with the Lon Nol group.
- 3 So to conclude this; that I was fed up, that I was shocked with
- 4 the police work, and I did not write these matters into my life
- 5 view of the revolution.
- 6 [09.27.42]
- 7 And regarding my communication with my uncle, I did not write
- 8 this matter in my revolutionary biography. And for my close
- 9 friends, even if when I was fed up with the revolutionary work, I
- 10 did not even dare to tell them.
- 11 That is my conclusion, Your Honour.
- 12 Q.So, if I understood correctly, between June and August 1975
- 13 when this meeting took place in which the creation of S-21 was
- 14 decided, you had had no specific activities outside of the
- 15 training program and outside of the writing of your biography --
- 16 or did you start undertaking a police activity as well or did you
- 17 start undertaking any kind of information activities as well?
- 18 A.Let me continue my report to you, Your Honour.
- 19 During that period, as I informed earlier, whether I was in Phnom
- 20 Penh alone or I was with Brother Mam Nai, I was not clear on this
- 21 point.
- 22 I was pretty pitiful for three or four years, so when I was in
- 23 Phnom Penh at that headquarters I had plenty of food, so I took
- 24 the advantage of eating the food there, but one day I made a
- 25 visit to Amleang.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 7

- 1 From my recollection, there was no police work or any specific
- 2 training at the time. I only went around looking at the
- 3 buildings and at this place from those old Lon Nol regimes; how
- 4 they organized their attacking strategies; the underground floor
- 5 of the building.
- 6 Q.You explained, unless I'm mistaken, that you were looking for
- 7 information; that you went to the staff headquarters of Lon Nol,
- 8 but I also believe at Lon Nol's villa too, but maybe I
- 9 misunderstood.
- 10 [09.31.20]
- 11 In your research, what were you looking for exactly and did you
- 12 find, in particular, documentation regarding torture? Did you
- 13 find books on torture? Did you find documentation on torture?
- 14 A.After the 17 of August 1975 -- the 15th of August, correction
- 15 -- and then Comrade Sum married to his wife and the superior send
- 16 him back to the Ministry of Industry at the request of Comrade
- 17 Sum, as from me.
- 18 THE INTERPRETER:
- 19 Excuse me; the interpreter did not hear. Could you please
- 20 repeat?
- 21 THE ACCUSED:
- 22 Comrade Sum returned and then he was sent to the Ministry of
- 23 Industry at his request by the superior. As for myself, I
- 24 assigned Comrade Meas to take the people from Amleang to work in
- 25 Phnom Penh.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 8

- 1 As for myself and Brother Mam Nai, we walked around to search for
- 2 documents at the house and residence and public institutions.
- 3 The private houses of the senior people of the former Lon Nol
- 4 regime, I spent two days searching for documents.
- 5 As for the sustained (inaudible) general staff, I saw documents
- 6 there but I did not take any document, and Nat collected and kept
- 7 those documents. As for Chan Sokhom's house or the Ministry of
- 8 Foreign Affairs, the superior collected and gave them to me to
- 9 keep. I went to the public institutions, including the Police
- 10 Inspectorate Office, the General Police Inspectorate, and the
- 11 QGAA and CIPRA, the third location, and Azikashi (phonetic) as
- 12 the fourth location I went to.
- 13 [09.35.36]
- 14 For those documents on torture that Your Honour asked me I took
- 15 them from, I took them from the General Police Inspectorate,
- 16 police inspectorate, which is known as PJ.
- 17 Q.I would also like to obtain some clarification with regard to
- 18 the personal role you played in the choice of the premises of S
- 19 21. We have seen that various premises were used but the final
- 20 location, it appears to me, has two characteristics. First, the
- 21 use of school buildings; and second, the size.
- 22 Is it you who proposed this location? Was this a decision of
- 23 your superiors or did you just seek the approval of your
- 24 superiors?
- 25 A. Your Honours, the location of S 21, the final location first

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 9

- 1 was located by Nat because the superior ordered him to move from
- 2 PJ, and therefore we are talking about the size of the compound.
- 3 It was existing from Nat was there. It means that the north
- 4 connects to Road 310, and to the south a bit further than 360,
- 5 and in the east it's at Monivong Boulevard. To the west, it's
- 6 not beyond Street 163. And the fourth location was remaining
- 7 from Nat and it is approved by the superior because to keep them
- 8 hiding from the Chinese experts and advisors.
- 9 As for my initiative, I proposed approval from the superior to
- 10 move the detention to the location of Ponhea Yat High School.
- 11 This is my initiative and it is approved by the upper echelon.
- 12 [09.40.21]
- 13 As for Choeung Ek, I decided -- it was decided by me. I did not
- 14 request for any consultation or views from the superior, and
- 15 later I reported to him for his information. And individual
- 16 cells, I decided to do that and I did not even report it to my
- 17 superior regarding that.
- 18 And, finally, I would like to tell Your Honour that I did not yet
- 19 report in this hearing. On the 15th of August 1975, the superior
- 20 directed me to organize the police office at Kab Srov in the
- 21 north of Phnom Penh. I did not know where Kab Srov was. I could
- 22 not find the place then. He told me that, "You need to ask Chan,
- 23 Comrade Chan to take you there", and Chan was so very difficult
- 24 and he did not take me there.
- 25 I would like to say that Comrade Chan was the messenger of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 10

- 1 superior who was stationed at the railway station. And at the
- 2 end, Nat selected the place which I marked as Location B at --
- 3 around Tuol Sleng for -- it's Location A, it's not B, sorry. I
- 4 made a mistake.
- 5 At the end in 1968, the Khieu say, "Comrade, you need to move to
- 6 Kab Srov". Let me say it again. In 1978, Uncle Nuon asked me to
- 7 work -- it was Nuon Chea -- called me to work and he reminded me
- 8 that, "Comrade, should move to Kab Srov". It was the place where
- 9 Angkar required you to be there. I denied at that time, "No, how
- 10 can I go there?" At Choeung Ek, there were a lot of ash and
- 11 graves. It might be the case that people may dig it out who take
- 12 care of them. It's the ashes, the skeletons.
- 13 [09.44.55]
- 14 I would like to say it again. It's not correct in translation.
- 15 I insisted to be at -- to work at Choeung Ek because there were a
- 16 lot of corpses and bones and bodies at Choeung Ek. There were
- 17 many of them there and, therefore, how can I leave the place for
- 18 another location? Uncle Nuon was silent at the time and at the
- 19 next meeting he told me that, "Angkar consented to your request".
- 20 That's all to Your Honour's question at this point in time.
- 21 Q.Did the fact that school premises were used as places of
- 22 detention and interrogation raise any questions on your part?
- 23 Did you feel anything? Did you feel that it was just a place
- 24 like any other?
- 25 A. Your Honours, my political views at that time; I saw Sisowath

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 11

- 1 High School and Tuol Sleng Primary School. They were the
- 2 buildings simply as other buildings, and the building that
- 3 facilitated detention. However now, I did not see. My view is
- 4 not the same -- the use of school or high school to be detention
- 5 and interrogation. It is kind of affection and a denial, the
- 6 culture and humanity as a whole.
- 7 [09.48.10]
- 8 Q.Concerning the 703rd Police Office and the psychiatric
- 9 hospital in Ta Kmao, perhaps I didn't understand you correctly
- 10 yesterday, but I believe you stated that the activities of this
- 11 office came to an end on the 15th of August 1976, or do you mean
- 12 that this is when this building was handed over to the Ministry
- of Social Affairs? I didn't understand you very clearly.
- 14 A. The psychiatric hospital was used by Nat since the 17th April.
- 15 As far as my observation, the prisons were used until the 6th of
- 16 January -- sorry, the 6th of June 1976 -- and handed over to the
- 17 Ministry of Social Affairs. It occurred in early 1977 or late
- 18 1976 but, based on my recollections, it's the first semester of
- 19 1977.
- 20 So that's all for the response to Ta Kmao Prison.
- 21 Q.There's something I don't quite understand. Was this prison
- 22 part of the S 21 complex or not at all? And who ran the office,
- 23 that is 03, after Nat's departure?
- 24 A. Your Honour, officially the Office 03 finished on the 15th of
- 25 August 1975, however, Nat was not clear but -- I was not sure

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 12

- 1 when he started to use the S 21 name from the 15th of August
- 2 1975. The surviving documents, one of them indicated that until
- 3 the 30th or the 1st -- the 30th of November. On the 1st of
- 4 December, Nat still signed it with the Office 03, therefore, he
- 5 used that name unofficially. However, the official name started
- 6 from the 15th of August 1975.
- 7 So the Ta Kmao Prison, he supervised it from the 15th of August
- 8 until his departure and then he completed his remaining task for
- 9 the other three months. His departure date was around March
- 10 1976.
- 11 That is my conclusion, Your Honour.
- 12 Q.It's still fairly vague to me because you said that it
- 13 continued operation until June or August '76, I think. So who
- 14 was managing Office 03 from March '76? You said Nat left, so who
- 15 had the responsibility for this office and who was asked to clean
- 16 up the area, the premises, exhume the bodies and hand over the
- 17 premises to the Minister of Social Affairs, was it you?
- 18 [09.54.14]
- 19 A. The office 03 was supervised by Nat. So the person on the
- 20 ground who managed the daily work was Comrade Hor. Hor managed
- 21 all those daily activities; he was used for those daily
- 22 activities.
- 23 When Nat left in March, as I reported to Your Honour he told me
- 24 to maintain the Ta Kmao Prisons for our own use. I did not think
- 25 any further on that matter and I believe at that time Hor was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 13

- 1 still managing those person -- those people who were detained in
- 2 that Ta Kmao Prison at the time.
- 3 And when Nat left, later he returned with his superior
- 4 permission. He came to meet Hor and to finish his remaining
- 5 task. Let me confirm that his superior was also my superior,
- 6 that is Son Sen.
- 7 So Nat came up and down until June 12th, '76 when he stopped
- 8 coming back. So the Ta Kmao Prison was still operational; it was
- 9 in operation from 17 April until June 1976. The person who
- 10 supervised the daily activity was Hor, Comrade Hor, and Nat was
- 11 the supervisor who gave orders.
- 12 This is the background -- it's a bit complicated. So that was
- 13 the background of the named office 03 and S-21.
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 The Chamber would like to remind the accused that the questioning
- 16 was -- is done in French and because you listened to the French
- 17 channel and you started to answer immediately and there was no
- 18 room for the interpreter to do the interpretation.
- 19 [09.57.23]
- 20 So, please, leave some room for the interpreter to interpret and
- 21 when you finish your response please switch off your microphone.
- 22 The floor is yours, Judge Lavergne.
- 23 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 24 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 25 BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 14

- 1 Q.I still don't quite understand. Who decided to send people to
- 2 S-21 or to Office 03? Was there a difference between the two
- 3 institutions? Was Office 03 a place of execution rather than a
- 4 place of interrogation? Could you please enlighten us?
- 5 A.Let me report on the person who made decision to send people
- 6 to Office 03. The person who made decision, from what I can
- 7 observe, importantly it was the superior but Nat rose to ask
- 8 people from the countryside was also part of his job.
- 9 So about the people coming to the Office 03 was rather confusing.
- 10 Let it state it to Your Honour that even I myself, when I was the
- 11 deputy in that office, Nat still makes decisions to arrest people
- 12 from the Division 12. He made that decision by himself.
- 13 So the arrest of these people, to bring them to Office 03, when
- 14 Nat was there, was confusing. I didn't know who made decisions.
- 15 I did not know who or who -- the superiors who made the decision.
- 16 [10.00.06]
- 17 Let me state that that period were the time they screened the
- 18 former regime officers and the former military officers of the
- 19 old regime. Therefore, so the person who arrested the people at
- 20 that time did not have many people to arrest.
- 21 After I became the chairman of S-21, nobody dare to send anyone
- 22 to S-21 without asking for my permission. So everything had to
- 23 go through my superior and from my superior to me. So it was
- 24 clear that it started from the time I became the chairman of that
- 25 office. From that time onwards nobody dare to send people to me

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 15

- 1 directly; they were sent through my superior, Son Sen.
- 2 So that is the real situation of the time and probably my
- 3 previous description was rather confusing but my later statement
- 4 here is clear.
- 5 So I only received those people only from my superior and nobody
- 6 dared to send those people directly to me, to S-21.
- 7 So to conclude this, the situation of 17 April was rather
- 8 confusing, the arrest and the killing was carried out easily but
- 9 from March '76 onward only the -- when the superior sent them,
- 10 then S-21 would receive them.
- 11 [10.02.46]
- 12 Q.I think we will get back to the organization of S-21.
- 13 You mentioned a few -- a certain number of installations that I
- 14 believe existed at S-21 but that did not exist at M-13. In
- 15 particular, the telephone communication facilities that were
- 16 there, the typing services. Were there typing services at M-13,
- 17 for example? Were there photographers at M-13? Were there also
- 18 recording instruments at M-13 because we know that certain
- 19 confessions were recorded?
- 20 I would like to know, therefore, who was at the start of these
- 21 innovations, we could say. Was it you or was it something that
- 22 existed in other centres? How did all of this take place? How
- 23 were these instruments implemented?
- 24 [10.04.15]
- 25 A. These instruments did not exist during the M-13 period. Even

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 16

- 1 at the times, the writing paper was scarce. We had to use the
- 2 newspapers sometimes to write.
- 3 At S-21, it was the war's fault when Phnom Penh fell. It was the
- 4 legacy of the Division 703. The comrades, the photographers, and
- 5 the photographic instruments were all the legacy of the Division
- 6 703. The photographers also came from the Division 703, and the
- 7 typewriters also came from Division 703; also writing papers,
- 8 books, medications, envelopes. And also -- we also had one
- 9 photocopy machine and also a cinema projector -- two cinema
- 10 projectors. We have many equipments. We also have one
- 11 instrument -- electronic stencil which was the legacy that we
- 12 obtained.
- 13 So we only use those existing equipments from Phnom Penh, and
- 14 only had one thing that was given to me by my superior; that was
- 15 the telephone which dialled rings that was given to me to
- 16 communicate with my superior.
- 17 [10.07.21]
- 18 Q.Well, last question then; last question concerning Prey Sar .
- 19 Which share did you have in the -- what was your participation
- 20 level in the organization of Prey Sar? Did you decide to use
- 21 certain buildings? Did you decide on the internal organization
- 22 of Prey Sar? What did you do exactly at that level -- at the
- 23 organization level of Prey Sar?
- 24 A.Your Honour, Prey Sar was under my complete supervision. It's
- 25 only that I did not have enough time to manage, so I assigned

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 17

- 1 Comrade Hor and Huy to work over there except in special
- 2 circumstances where I had to intervene.
- 3 For instance, one day my superior asked me to bring about 30
- 4 people from the east for the re-education. After one month of
- 5 re-education, they would be sent to the tank division that was
- 6 newly established -- the tank unit which was recently
- 7 established. These 30 people or so came from the East Division.
- 8 They were sent for the re-education, and after that they would be
- 9 included into a tank unit that will be established shortly. So
- 10 only in a special case like this that I had to participate.
- 11 Separately, if people were to be arrested for re-education, they
- 12 consented amongst themselves -- the two of them -- and then they
- 13 would come to seek my opinion. I had a decision to decide on
- 14 these matters. I do not need to seek permission from my
- 15 superior, but I had to report the matter to my superior.
- 16 However, in certain cases, I needed to seek permission from my
- 17 superior. For instance, I think there is one surviving document
- 18 regarding the ability of Comrade Sok and, in that particular
- 19 case, I needed to seek permission from my superior because that
- 20 what he ordered me to do before I made a decision on that matter.
- 21 This is about the people that needed to be re-educations, so
- 22 those people were categorized as half-detainees, half-peasants,
- $\,$ 23 $\,$ but the S-21 staff at Prey Sar before any arrests to be made on
- those staff, a decision had to be made.
- 25 And for the production planning about the organization of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 18

- 1 forces, they would organize those on the ground. But they also
- 2 had to be responsible before the Party for any mishap.
- 3 So if they beat -- they're forced in that place, they had to be
- 4 responsible before the party. In a case that a person revolted
- 5 and escaped -- so if a person -- a group of people who revolted
- 6 and escaped, then those who supervised the areas had to be
- 7 responsible before the party.
- 8 [10.13.55]
- 9 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 10 I do not have any further questions, Mr. President.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Your Honours, do you have any other questions to question the
- 14 accused?
- 15 Jude Thou Mony, please take your floor to ask the question.
- 16 BY JUDGE THOU MONY:
- 17 Q.You said -- you indicated yesterday that S-21 used to receive
- 18 the prisoner from Ta Kmao for interrogation in S-21. Can you
- 19 explain -- do you have the interrogation location at Ta Kmao, Ta
- 20 Kmao Prison?
- 21 A.I know a little, a very few about Ta Kmao Prison. Nat took me
- 22 there once and I came across Comrade Chea and I withdraw myself.
- 23 As I was a deputy, I did not want to know much. As I told the
- 24 Trial Chamber many times, I did not want to be a security person
- 25 then.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 19

- 1 This is the truth and I do not know well, but I can assume that
- 2 when the victim was interrogated at location A -- in fact, most
- 3 of the prisoners were detained in Ta Kmao and some of them were
- 4 brought for interrogation in location A and later interrogations
- 5 were done at PJ. And most of the prisoners were brought from Ta
- 6 Kmao and Comrade Hor do that transportation at the order of Nat.
- 7 And S-21 moved from PJ to other location A. Most of the
- 8 prisoners, based on my assumption, they were from Ta Kmao Prison.
- 9 It was the former psychiatric hospital. It detained the prisoner.
- 10 As I assume, they had no interrogation place because the cadre
- 11 interrogators interrogated the prisoner at location A, and later
- 12 I collected all of the prisoners to detain in Ponhea Yat High
- 13 School.
- 14 And, Your Honour, based on my assumption today, there was no
- 15 interrogation place at Ta Kmao Prison.
- 16 [10.17.27]
- 17 Q. You indicated that Ta Kmao Prison may be created two or three
- 18 days after this event of April 1975 but the unit of S-21 was
- 19 established about the 15th of August 1975. So Ta Kmao Prison was
- 20 established before S-21, before the establishment of S-21. Can
- 21 you explain, during the operation or the functioning of Ta Kmao
- 22 Prison, was there any interrogation before the establishment of
- 23 S-21 so that the prisoners could be brought to S-21 for
- 24 interrogation?
- 25 A. Your Honours, the interrogation at Ta Kmao Prison, I don't

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 20

- 1 know that, but in the meantime or a little bit before the
- 2 establishment before when I participate in S-21, Nat brought me
- 3 one time to the special prison. At that special prison, the
- 4 first impression for me, Comrade Hor, who sit on a chair reading
- 5 a confession. Hor and me were always most of the time all
- 6 together. We used to be in prison in 1968 under Lon Nol regime.
- 7 I saw him there.
- 8 And then Nat took me further to the interrogation location. I
- 9 saw the victim sitting on -- Nat told me that Sao Pheum was the
- 10 victim who was sitting in a chair with the ankle shackle. Based
- 11 on what I saw, I can assume that there was no interrogation at Ta
- 12 Kmao and the victim was interrogated at Monireth resident. And
- 13 when S-21 come into operation, 61 Monireth resident was not
- 14 longer used but it moved to location A.
- 15 Ta Kmao Prison remained to be the detention and -- from the 17th
- 16 of April until June 1976. And the interrogation location, based
- 17 on my analysis and assumption, it was in Sisowath Monireth
- 18 resident, and later location A, and later PJ, and finally to A,
- 19 and a later stage it is at location B where Ponhea Yat High
- 20 School was.
- 21 That's all for this question.
- 22 [10.21.07]
- 23 Q.After S-21 was established, the prisoners were brought from Ta
- 24 Kmao for interrogation at location A as you indicate, as a part
- 25 of S-21. After the interrogation, were the prisoners smashed

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 21

- 1 inside S-21?
- 2 A. Your Honour, the smashing, I did not pay much attention to the
- 3 smashing. I mentioned this. It doesn't mean that the one who
- 4 did the smashing was a criminal, but it is the obligation
- 5 assigned by the party to do so. If they fail to do that, they
- 6 will be responsible before me and before the party. This is
- 7 based on the practical problem. There might be the victims who
- 8 were executed at location A. There might be some.
- 9 I would like to talk about one story.
- 10 When we started to work from -- we cooperated in the work with
- 11 Division 703 and we tried to work together. One day we received
- 12 an order from the superior, maybe Nat was not there, and the
- 13 superior told me that, "Comrade Duch, had someone to smash Meas,
- 14 alias Chuk (phonetic) to be about 5 a.m. in the morning and,
- 15 Comrade, you have to be there."
- 16 This passed for a long time but I did not want to see that. But
- 17 the smashing by 703 is different from S 21. They were proud of
- 18 the smashing. They stabbed by the knife to the neck here, like
- 19 here, to ensure the victim was completely dead. At that time I
- 20 went to see it under the order of the superior. I could not deny
- 21 Comrade Hor, who arranged that, and he used the flashlight.
- 22 There were two victims, Mom Suon and Suos. I did not remember
- 23 the date.
- 24 [10.24.26]
- 25 That activity indicated that there were a number of victims

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 22

- 1 smashed at Location R inside as part of S 21 at that time. And
- 2 Ly Phel, alias Phen was also smashed, and three days -- and then
- 3 Brother Khieu, Son Sen: "Duch, you have to exhume the corpse of
- 4 contemptible Phen and report it to the superior, and I was so
- 5 angry at that time but I dare not complain. And I did the
- 6 exhumation and the victim was buried in S 21, and the corpses
- 7 were buried for just three days. It was not really completely
- 8 decomposed but I needed to use some kind of fluid to clean.
- 9 And later the superior ordered me three times to inspect at
- 10 Choeung Ek, so I talk it about that many times, so let me finish
- 11 Choeung Ek here. So when I recall these kind of criminal acts,
- 12 they make me to make an assumption and analyse this as the
- 13 following.
- 14 The superior trusted me. The superior did not trust Nat. It's
- 15 not only Nat but the other subordinates, so I look at the whole
- 16 story and something was completely changed. I would like to
- 17 mention that at Location A at S-21 of Phnom Penh people were
- 18 killed there but I am not quite sure how many, other than what I
- 19 saw.
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 The Trial Chamber adjourns for 20 minutes and will resume at 20
- 22 minutes to 11 and then we will resume our session. Thank you.
- 23 (Judges exit courtroom)
- 24 (Court recesses from 1027H to 1059H)
- 25 (Judges enter courtroom)

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 23

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Please be seated.
- 3 The lawyer, do you have any observations to make?
- 4 [11.00.03]
- 5 MR. WERNER:
- 6 Your Honour, can I have your leave to address very briefly --
- 7 very briefly, Your Honours, on a scheduling matter?
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Yes, the Chamber permits you.
- 10 MR. WERNER:
- 11 I'm grateful, Your Honours.
- 12 Your Honours, you gave an order on 23 February 2009 about the
- 13 scheduling of the trial, and on this order you indicated that you
- 14 would inform the parties at a later stage of the date of sitting
- 15 days in the week of the 1st to the 5th of June. Can I request,
- 16 we would be grateful to Your Honours if we could have some
- 17 indication about that week, if you intend to sit or not during
- 18 that week and, if it's possible, if you could give this
- 19 indication before the two weeks' break.
- 20 And similarly, we would be grateful if we could receive -- before
- 21 we take this two weeks' break, if we could receive some
- 22 indication for the summer, for July and August, simply if you
- 23 intend to take some recess or not. It will really help us to
- organize ourselves and try to plan; I'm grateful.
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 24

- 1 Thank you for raising the question, the issue. The Chamber would
- 2 like to inform the parties on the rescheduling of the hearing and
- 3 we will ensure the dates for the hearing on a weekly basis as
- 4 well as on a monthly basis. We will at least arrange a fortnight
- 5 or three weeks before the actual hearing date in order to ensure
- 6 that all parties could attend and could do their research before
- 7 the actual hearing date to facilitate the discussions during the
- 8 hearing.
- 9 For this week's hearing the schedule which was planned for this
- 10 week was not correct. For example, the M 13 was planned for one
- 11 week, but then some witnesses had to move to the following week,
- 12 at the time for the discussion on the facts of the establishment
- 13 of S-21.
- 14 At the moment, we are trying to develop our scheduling so that by
- 15 Thursday morning or afternoon this Thursday, we will issue the
- 16 rescheduling for the end of May, as we're asked, for the month of
- 17 June, and subsequently, the Chamber will insure the sitting
- 18 dates, and the scheduling for each fact.
- 19 Also for the listening for the testimonies of the witnesses, we
- 20 will issue those accordingly, but we cannot provide an actual
- 21 total scheduling of the hearing. And actually the last few days,
- 22 we have been working on this matter; whether we can keep or
- 23 maintain our scheduling or whether there's only some remaining
- 24 issues that needs to be pushed further into the following week or
- 25 the third week of May in order not to make it -- not in order to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 25

- 1 justify the scheduling.
- 2 [11.03.54]
- 3 MR. WERNER:
- 4 Mr. President, I'm grateful for that, and the only thing I could
- 5 add is if in principle -- if in principle, you can tell us for
- 6 the summer -- of course I'm not asking for a detailed schedule, I
- 7 perfectly understand your point, but if in principle you can tell
- 8 us if you intend to take any recess or not for July and August,
- 9 that would just help us, give us some indication, but I perfectly
- 10 understand that you will give a detailed schedule only two weeks
- 11 or so in advance. I do -- I do understand this point. I'm
- 12 grateful.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Thank you for your questions. We will also inform you on this
- 15 matter, but in principle we have already informed you the
- 16 scheduling of the hearing. We -- at the moment, we sit on four
- 17 days and we leave one day for the judges to discuss on the
- 18 remaining issues so that is one day off, and if it falls on a
- 19 public holiday then the Court will not sit. So in general, we
- 20 will have one day of a week -- in a week to discuss, and we will
- 21 not sit.
- 22 And this is for us to experiment, and based on the last few
- 23 weeks, we have come across certain issues. Sometime we had to
- 24 adjourn in order to discuss on the pending issues to find proper
- 25 solutions to those requests raised by concerned parties. So we

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 26

- 1 can't foresee in the future if there are numerous issues, as what
- 2 we have come across so far, then we -- there would be amendments
- 3 or changes to the scheduling or probably to cut down the sitting
- 4 days to only three days per week.
- 5 But in general, the Court will notify on the scheduling of the
- 6 hearing, and we will inform all the parties whether the Court
- 7 will sit on a particular day or not as we have done previously;
- 8 for example, that the Court will not sit from the 1st to the 15th
- 9 of May next month.
- 10 Next, the Chamber will give the floor to the Co-Prosecutors. Mr.
- 11 François Roux, the floor is yours.
- 12 [11.06.35]
- 13 MR. ROUX:
- 14 Since we are talking about scheduling, before we continue the
- 15 substantive hearing, I wish to respectfully inform the Chamber
- 16 that I must leave Phnom Penh this evening, and that therefore, I
- 17 shall not be attending Court tomorrow and the day after tomorrow.
- 18 Yesterday evening, I spoke on the telephone with the Pre-Trial
- 19 Judge for the Special Tribunal for Lebanon. Pursuant to Rule 17
- 20 of the special statute of that Court, the Pre-Trial Judge will
- 21 hold the first hearing of this tribunal tomorrow in The Hague in
- 22 the Netherlands to decide on the extension of detention or
- 23 release of the four generals who are currently in detention. I
- 24 recall, for the Chambers benefit, that these detainees are
- 25 challenging the regularity of their detention, and the Pre-Trial

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 27

- Judge has decided to hold this hearing without further delay, and
- 2 in my capacity as head of the defence office, I am required to
- 3 attend.
- 4 I ask you to forgive my absence. In view of the short time frame
- 5 I had, I was not able to provide for a replacement, but my
- 6 learned friend Mr. Kar Savuth has indicated to me that he's
- 7 prepared to represent the client for these two days. I shall be
- 8 back in May.
- 9 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 10 [11.08.59]
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 The lawyer for Civil Party Group 3, the floor is yours.
- 13 MR. CANONNE:
- 14 Mr. President, thank you for giving me the floor. I shall be
- 15 very brief.
- 16 I have two things to raise. First, I want to express my complete
- 17 support for what my colleague, Mr. Alain Werner has said. You
- 18 have responded to his concern; however, I should like to add that
- 19 when we make such a request of the Chamber, it is not merely for
- 20 convenience; it is obviously so that we can prepare our case, and
- 21 have the necessary time to examine the materials according to the
- 22 scheduling of the hearings so that we can keep up with the
- 23 matters being brought up.
- 24 Mr. President, I have a second question. When we parted on
- 25 Thursday the 23rd, this Chamber announced that the afternoon

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 28

- 1 session had been cancelled because you said you were supposed to
- 2 meet to come to a decision on the use or otherwise of DC-Cam
- 3 documents. You indicated that your decision would be made known
- 4 to us on Monday the 27th; that was yesterday. We shall be
- 5 parting on Thursday for awhile; it is therefore -- it therefore
- 6 appears indispensable to me for the proper organization of our
- 7 work, both the civil parties and the defence -- you see, I always
- 8 ask my questions to elicit a yes or no answer so would like to
- 9 know whether we should expect this document or whether we should
- 10 wait for a decision subsequently.
- 11 This is the thrust of my submission. Thank you.
- 12 [11.12.12]
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Thank you for raising the issues. I would like to inform you
- 15 that the Chamber in our discussion and decision, and probably
- 16 there is a mistake in my speech or in the translation regarding
- 17 our decision that needs to be rendered on Monday -- yesterday.
- 18 Because the issue is complicated and long which requires a long
- 19 written decision referring to various rules and orders and some
- 20 international jurisprudence, that's why it requires a detailed
- 21 discussion, and after that we need to calculate the translation
- 22 and the time for the translation.
- 23 [11.13.14]
- 24 So the purpose of such discussion is to have an opportunity to
- 25 discuss other principles which are the basis for our decision

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 29

- 1 and, secondly, so after that we would work on that document and
- 2 then it requires to be translated. Therefore, the decision will
- 3 be announced after our return from the recess; that is, in the
- 4 third week of May. This is according to the scheduling and the
- 5 timetable; that it requires the document to be properly
- 6 translated.
- 7 On a separate issue, because of our urgent arrangement during the
- 8 hearing and discussion on the other facts, which are complicated,
- 9 we need to work on this particular matter first so that parties
- 10 can raise other relevant documents based on the Chamber's
- 11 decision. So there might be a misunderstanding on this topic.
- 12 For example, when you said that it needs to be rendered and
- 13 announced on Monday, yesterday, it was not.
- 14 MR. CANNONE:
- 15 Thank you very much for your response. I admit that my question
- 16 is complex and I thank you for your answer.
- 17 I'd like to raise another difficulty. That is, what is your
- 18 answer to the question raised with regard to interpretation or
- 19 translation? Am I to take it that your decision will be rendered
- 20 at the same time?
- 21 [11.15.34]
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 That was a request by a party and the Chamber took note of it,
- 24 and we will make our decision. It depends on the discretion of
- 25 the Chamber whether the Chamber used the right properly in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 30

- 1 dealing with the administration part of the Court, so whether the
- 2 Chamber has its discretion and power to order the administration,
- 3 and we will make a decision on that.
- 4 In principle, we agreed on this issue and that we will be ready
- 5 to make letters, to sign it and send to the administration and
- 6 then make a copy to all concerned parties.
- 7 MR. CANNONE:
- 8 I have no further questions.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Next, I would like to give the floor to the Co-Prosecutors. The
- 11 Co-Prosecutors, do you have any questions to ask the accused
- 12 regarding our current facts? If you have, the floor is yours.
- 13 MR. TAN SENARONG:
- 14 Thank you, Mr. President. The representative of the Prosecution
- 15 Office has the following questions for Mr. Kaing Guek Eav, alias
- 16 Duch.
- 17 QUESTIONING BY THE CO-PROSECUTORS
- 18 BY MR. TAN SENARONG:
- 19 Q.As you have known, the Communist Party of Kampuchea decided
- 20 and made their decision on the establishment of the S-21 office.
- 21 In March 1976, you became the chairman of the S 21 office.
- 22 During that time and at the subsequent time swhen Son Sen was
- 23 your superior and he was also a party member of the Communist
- 24 Party of Kampuchea, did he inform you the purpose and the
- 25 directions on the establishment of the S 21 office?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 31

- 1 A.Thank you, Co-Prosecutor. I would like to provide the
- 2 following response.
- 3 S-21 -- when I became the chairman of S-21 it was in March 1976.
- 4 That is the fact. My superior was Son Sen. In the party rank he
- 5 was not an ordinary party member. He was a candidate member of
- 6 the standing committee. He was the seventh person in the party
- 7 rank. In the document dated the 9 October 1975, Pol Pot assigned
- 8 him as the general staff -- responsible for the general staff and
- 9 he was also in charge of the security.
- 10 In the execution of the work at the S-21, my superiors always
- 11 said the S-21 duty is not the duties to conduct espionage but
- 12 it's the anti-espionage duty. So that is the duty of the S 21,
- 13 and this is for you, Mr. Co-Prosecutor.
- 14 [11.20.07]
- 15 Q. What about the following or the subsequent works during your
- 16 role as the chairman of S-21? Do you clearly understand the role
- 17 or the purpose, the actual -- or the characteristic of the S-21
- 18 office? What is the characteristic for the establishment of the
- 19 S 21 office? Do you really understand the characteristic of that
- 20 office?
- 21 A. Thank you, Mr. Co-Prosecutor. I would like to provide the
- 22 following response.
- 23 The history of the S-21 is divided into two parts. The first
- 24 part is when I was a deputy and before I came to that office, it
- 25 was the history of the purchase of the old former regime officers

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 32

- 1 and military personnel, and from the time I took control as the
- 2 chairman -- I believe the Co-Prosecutor has an important document
- 3 already. It is the decision made on the 30th of March '76. It's
- 4 about the internal and external purchase which was assigned to
- 5 the four important groups or cadres who have the right to make
- 6 such a decision. That is amongst the four groups.
- 7 When a decision was made to smash, then other security offices or
- 8 units had to make the arrest and to send to the security office
- 9 to arrest, to detain, to torture and to smash. It had to happen
- 10 and nobody dared to object the decision of these four groups. If
- 11 somebody opposed, somebody would be punished. So let me recall
- 12 that.
- 13 S-21, when I became the chairman of that office I -- the upper
- 14 echelon issued that document and from that date then the S-21 had
- 15 a duty to combat the internal purchase, and amongst the four
- 16 groups let me tell you one is the secretary of the zone
- 17 committees; there were seven zones.
- 18 Second, the chairman of the office -- of the Central Office, that
- 19 is the Office 870 and third is the standing -- the Central
- 20 Standing Committee which needs to be -- which for the purpose of
- 21 the committee and the fourth one is for the smashing within the
- 22 internal party's rank of a general zone.
- 23 [11.23.33]
- 24 So those documents and also the surviving documents show from the
- 25 day I became the chairman of the S-21, it had no further duty of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 33

- 1 purchase of the former Republic regime officials but it was the
- 2 internal purchase that has to be conducted.
- 3 Q.My question, as the following; during the investigation stage
- 4 you denied that S-21 never make any arrest, but in the hearing
- 5 stage it seemed to me that you recognized that there was arrests
- 6 made at S-21. For example, the arrest of Chhim Sam-Aok, alias
- 7 Panng that made in your house, is it true? Who were the victim
- 8 -- who's the key victim of the arrest at your house at that time?
- 9 A. Thank you, Mr. Prosecutors that you asked this question to me.
- 10 So far we have a discussion during the investigation stage
- 11 because of the concept of the arrest is not the same, it's the
- 12 prohibition; the conception of the arrest was not the same.
- 13 The office of the Co-Prosecutor, you said that the arrest -- the
- 14 decision made by S-21 and did the arrest. This is the point that
- 15 I denied. If you are talking about the four groups who made
- 16 decision, and S-21 was just the one who implemented the decision
- 17 to keep it secret so that we can control. And so the victim must
- 18 be detained, this is true. Van Kuythoun, second, was Chhim
- 19 Sam-Aok, alias Panng.
- 20 S-21 was the same as other security office that they perform
- 21 their duty following the decision made by the four groups.
- 22 So to conclusion, that we do not understand one another about the
- 23 concepts of the term used.
- 24 [11.26.26]
- 25 Q. Thank you.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 34

- 1 The following questions; when you became the chairman of S-21 you
- 2 replied that no one was sent to S-21 without any agreement or
- 3 authorization from Son Sen. So it means that you knew everything
- 4 about all the victim who were sent to S-21. Among other things,
- 5 you denied that you know anything about the arrest of the
- 6 professor from France, named Phing Ton. You denied that you know
- 7 that; is that true?
- 8 A.Mr. Co-Prosecutor, I would like to keep this matter when we
- 9 are talking about Professor Phing Ton with the civil party who
- 10 were the youngest -- the oldest daughter of the professor.
- 11 Q.I just would like to know, did you know it or not?
- 12 A.Yes, I agree. I wrote in the books in relation to Phing
- 13 Sokhuntry (?ph), that's the matter I did not know. He was my
- 14 teacher. I did not know.
- 15 I would like to keep this for a detailed discussion when we come
- 16 to that matter.
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Mr. Prosecutor, please try to make your question more specific so
- 19 that the facts we are hearing was the establishment of S-21 and
- 20 Ta Kmao Prison and we have no limitation on Ta Kmao because we
- 21 are not hearing further about the operation of Ta Kmao Prison and
- 22 we would like to know which one existed first in comparing to
- 23 S-21. Because Ta Kmao Prison was the legacy of Division 703 and
- 24 the establishment -- after the establishment of S-21, the
- 25 detainee and prisoner were transferred from that prison to S-21.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 35

- 1 [11.29.18]
- 2 So the hearing of these facts were connected with the
- 3 establishment of S-21 and Ta Kmao prison. So if the
- 4 Co-Prosecutor do not remember that while it may be you come to
- 5 the policy or the operation of the security office, and it is the
- 6 complicate and the tremendous facts and we have another arrest,
- 7 the transportation, the detention and the smash. These are the
- 8 facts during the operation of S-21.
- 9 BY MR. TAN SENARONG:
- 10 Q. Thank you, the Trial Chamber, who reminded me about that.
- 11 Another question in relation to the training which the Trial
- 12 Chamber questioned you and your responded to those questions.
- 13 The Co-Prosecutor just would like to know the nature of that
- 14 training. So what were the training used in that training
- 15 session? We would like to talk about what is the nature or the
- 16 characteristic, the content of the material, was it about the
- 17 security? Who were the trainer when you were in Phnom Penh for
- 18 the training on the date that you questioned by the Chamber and
- 19 you provide the respond to the Chamber?
- 20 A.I would like to tell the Co-Prosecutor as the following; in
- 21 relation to the training I was trained by my superior every year.
- 22 In the political training sessions -- in each session there were
- 23 three types of documents presented. It was the political
- 24 documents, the psychological documents and the assignment
- 25 documents. And after the presentation, as I told Your Honour,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 36

- 1 Judge Lavergne, there were discussion in the group so that we can
- 2 understand well the content of the document and then we produced
- 3 a revolutionary biography and the revolutionary lifestyle so that
- 4 we can learn.
- 5 [11.32.16]
- 6 This session conducted once a year and after that training, after
- 7 a number of cadre were sent to -- for training at the General
- 8 Staff. It was not with Son Sen but with Comrade Som. He was the
- 9 assistant to the General Staff.
- 10 And as for me, we conducted a training to those cadres who were
- 11 not sent to the general staff. Those training sessions were
- 12 conducted every year. It is called political training session
- 13 for every year.
- 14 In addition to that, I conducted a training on how to
- 15 interrogate. At the beginning of the establishment of S-21, I
- 16 had the former combatant and the combatant from Division 703 on
- 17 interrogation. I saw one of the comrade who took note; it is the
- 18 book of statistics of security matter of S-21. But actually it
- 19 was my -- the document that I presented about the politics and
- 20 how to interrogate. The book was binding in a proper manner but
- 21 the comrade was deceased. Now it's maybe it's in the (inaudible)
- 22 of S-21.
- 23 And later I conducted a short session -- a short session
- 24 training, and the one who took all the note was Brother Mam Nai.
- 25 And those document provided to me by the Co-Investigating Judges,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 37

- 1 at the end those were the contents that I taught to those cadre
- 2 interrogators. Those presentations were based on my frequent
- 3 meetings with the senior. The superior gave me advice and
- 4 recommendation and I followed that.
- 5 And to sum up, these documents it focused mainly on the
- 6 proletarian class and the dictatorship class and the proletarian
- 7 class of the revolution, and these are the criminal kind of
- 8 document teaching people to do a certain way of the crime.
- 9 [11.35.10]
- 10 Q. Thank you, Mr. Kaing Guek Eav.
- 11 As you are well aware, that S-21, containing building A, B, C, D,
- 12 you indicated on the map on the slide and there was one of the --
- 13 two of the buildings, B and C, individual cells were constructed.
- 14 So when were those individual cells built and who did that
- 15 building or construction of the cell and who made the decision or
- 16 authorized the construction of those individual cells? And you
- 17 said that there were the big hall to detain many prisoners and
- 18 there was a building where detainee kept in the individual cells.
- 19 A. Your Honour and Mr. Prosecutor, when the superior decided to
- 20 order me to use Ponhea Yat High School to be the detention
- 21 facility I was a bit proud at that time, and then I order Comrade
- 22 Hor to build the individual cells. And then Mr. Prosecutor know
- 23 that Comrade Hor used to be a prisoner and he knew well about the
- 24 individual cell. And Comrade Hor agreed to me, he said yes. I
- 25 decided -- I made that decision and I order Comrade Hor to build

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 38

- 1 those. And I did not report to the upper echelon. It was my
- 2 independent authority to make decision on my day-to-day work.
- 3 Yes, that's all.
- 4 [11.37.43]
- 5 Q. You often indicated to the Trial Chamber about the
- 6 interrogation. When S-21 was established there were a cold
- 7 group, there's a hard group and a chewing group and a female
- 8 group interrogators. Can you tell us about the structure and the
- 9 formula for interrogation? What were they -- what were the step
- 10 for interrogating the prisoners?
- 11 You also mention in document D20 -- that's the minutes of record
- 12 of interview. You said in details in that -- before the
- 13 Co-Investigating Judges. At this time can you tell to the Trial
- 14 Chamber about the formula or the methods of interrogation of the
- 15 prisoner at the moment when you were working there?
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Mr. François Roux, do you have anything to raise at this point in
- 18 time?
- 19 [11.39.16]
- 20 MR. ROUX:
- 21 Mr. President, I believe that Mr. Prosecutor is a bit ahead of
- 22 time concerning -- for the moment the Chamber has not gone into
- 23 the detail of the torture methods, and we were just only looking
- 24 at the organizational chart so far. And here Mr. Co-Prosecutor
- 25 is asking questions that are not -- should not be included in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 39

- 1 today's proceedings.
- 2 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 The observation made by the defence counsel is appropriate. The
- 5 accused doesn't have to answer the questions by the
- 6 Co-Prosecutor.
- 7 And the Co-Prosecutor is reminded that the questions can only be
- 8 raised regarding the establishment of the S-21 office and the Ta
- 9 Kmao Prison, the former psychiatry hospital. So please bear that
- 10 in mind. And I have already reminded this matter during the
- 11 early morning, so please stick to this line of questioning for
- 12 the relevant facts. And the Chamber will not allow questions on
- 13 other facts.
- 14 MR. TAN SENARONG:
- 15 Thank you, Your Honours, for reminding us. The prosecution only
- 16 would like to inquire about the establishment and -- the
- 17 establishment of the interrogation team. This is what we all
- 18 want to ask about. We do not want to ask details on the torture,
- 19 for instance.
- 20 BY MR. TAN SENARONG:
- 21 Q.At the S-21 office there was a photographers team, as you have
- 22 mentioned to the Chamber. And you also stated that photographs
- $^{--}$ the photographers were those from the Region 703 and the
- 24 photography equipment were the -- was borrowed from the Lon Nol
- 25 regime and you used those equipment. And the question is

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 40

- 1 subsequently those photographer team, were they trained or
- 2 received any further or additional training during the
- 3 establishment and the operation of the S-21?
- 4 [11.42.04]
- 5 A.Mr. Co-Prosecutor, I would like to make the following
- 6 response. Regarding the camera or the photography equipment,
- 7 there were many photography equipment that was made so the
- 8 Division 703 got many of them and distributed to S-21 through
- 9 Nat, both the cameras and the films.
- 10 And about the photographers of S-21, Comrade Sreang went for a
- 11 training with the photographer from the Division 703, and later
- 12 on they trained amongst themselves. They did not receive any
- 13 other additional trainings elsewhere.
- 14 That is my short response to you, Mr. Co-Prosecutor.
- 15 Q. Thank you, Mr. Kaing Guek Eav, and I would like to give the
- 16 floor to my colleague to ask you some further questions.
- 17 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 The Co-Prosecutor, the floor is yours.
- 20 MR. BATES:
- 21 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 22 [11.43.32]
- 23 BY MR. BATES:
- 24 Q.I'm going to try, Mr. Kaing Guek Eav, to ask you some very
- 25 short questions, and I hope it would be of great assistance to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 41

- 1 the Trial Chamber if you listened to my questions and responded
- 2 as briefly as you can to only those questions. Thank you.
- 3 Firstly, I'd like to ask you about your request in May 1975 to be
- 4 transferred away from security and into industry. You have told
- 5 us you made your request to Cheng An, the secretary of Sector 15.
- 6 That is correct so far; yes?
- 7 A.Mr. Co-Prosecutor, I would like to state that what you have
- 8 raised is completely appropriate and correct. The fact of the
- 9 matter at the time is that I wanted to go away from the security
- 10 work. First, I reported that I wanted to go away from the
- 11 security work in '73 when the prisoners revolted and took the
- 12 guns. I, under the pretext of that, asked Brother Vorn that I be
- 13 released from such work and, later on, on the 30th of April, I
- 14 sent the rest to Sector 32 as I was ordered to do so, and when I
- 15 was free I came to wait for the assignments and I met Brother
- 16 Cheng An at the time.
- 17 At that time, I really wanted to be away from the security
- 18 office. I wanted to be with the industry with Brother Cheng An.
- 19 That is the fact. I made a request, but the superior did not
- 20 agree or approve.
- 21 I would like to state that on the date of the presentation on the
- 22 establishment of S-21, Son Sen said -- probably to consolidate
- 23 me, "You stay with the security and then I stay with the
- 24 military", and that was the end of it. That's what the superior
- 25 said and I did not dare to protest. It was extremely difficult.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 42

- 1 So, in conclusion, I thank you, the Co-Prosecutor -- that I
- 2 honestly wanted to run away and go to the industry. That is it.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 [11.46.40]
- 5 Q.So let me understand exactly what you said to Cheng An, who
- 6 was your superior in the party. Did you say to him, "I don't
- 7 want to work in security. I don't like it"? Or did you say to
- 8 him, "I don't like having to give orders to kill people"? Tell
- 9 us what you told Mr. Cheng An.
- 10 A.Mr. Co-Prosecutor, in the Khmer language there is a proverb:
- 11 "Is it necessary for somebody to peel the crap to show the shit
- 12 of the crap?" I dare not to report to Brother Cheng An that I
- 13 hated the security work. I said that, "I wanted to really work
- 14 with you at the industry". I and Cheng An had our mutual
- 15 understanding. I trusted him and he trusted me back. As I
- 16 reported to Judge Lavergne yesterday, he trusted me, he had the
- 17 confidence of me, as I was a sincere dog to him.
- 18 So, in response, when I talked to my superior, I did not dare to
- 19 open the crap and show the shit inside the crap. Even with
- 20 Brother Vorn, I did not dare to say that I hated the security
- 21 work. I told him that, "I made a mistake, so you can punish me
- 22 and you can get me away from the security office".
- 23 This is my clarification to you, Mr. Co-Prosecutor.
- 24 [11.48.53]
- 25 Q.Yes, thank you. So, as I understand it, it was more by way of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 43

- 1 a suggestion to be transferred to industry than a request to be
- 2 transferred out of security because you didn't like it. That's
- 3 -- I've understood it correctly, have I?
- 4 A.Mr. Co-Prosecutor, I do not clearly understand the detail of
- 5 your questions, but what I said was honestly from my heart. The
- 6 industry of Brother Cheng An was under the supervision of Vorn
- 7 Vet, and as for the security work, at that time it was not fully
- 8 in shape yet but it was partly under the supervision of Son Sen.
- 9 Before the 17 April, especially before the 30th of April, I was
- 10 under the supervision of Son Sen, however, Brother Cheng An also
- 11 had a duty as the secretary of the branch, of my branch.
- 12 Therefore, I requested to him to work for him. It was my request
- 13 to him and it did not particularly say that I hated the security
- 14 work. I only said that I would like to work for you at industry
- 15 when the ministry was newly created.
- 16 And this is my response to you, Mr. Co-Prosecutor.
- 17 [11.51.00]
- 18 Q.But what you tell us in Court is that, in fact, you were very
- 19 reluctant to perform your duties as a security cadre. My
- 20 question is, did your direct superior, Son Sen, know about your
- 21 reluctance to work in security?
- 22 A.I would like to inform the Co-Prosecutor that in some duties
- 23 my superior understood it, had a grasp about it, so he had a
- 24 skill in his pedagogy and he understood emotions very well. So
- 25 most of the time I did not hide anything from him, but I believed

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 44

- 1 my superior understood the weak points that I had. He knew my
- 2 weak points; for instance, that I was afraid to kill people, that
- 3 I dare not to torture people. But he also knew my strong points,
- 4 so I did not dare to report to him on this matter, Mr.
- 5 Co-Prosecutor. They are not to open up a crap in order to show
- 6 it's shit.
- 7 Q.Let me put it another way one final time.
- 8 Can you confirm that you didn't tell anybody, directly, that you
- 9 hated security work and you were reluctant to do it? I've
- 10 understood that correctly, have I?
- 11 A.Mr. Co-Prosecutor, even if Brother Pon or Comrade -- Brother
- 12 Mam Nai, I did not dare to tell them. So these are two separate
- 13 things; they are, well, is there work -- my duty is my duty.
- 14 [11.53.50]
- 15 Q.So now we have established that you hated your work but you
- 16 did not specifically tell anyone that you hated your work.
- 17 And my next question; did you have any interest in security work
- 18 at all? Did it fascinate you, for example?
- 19 A.This is a very broad question. Let me attempt to respond, as
- 20 following.
- 21 The security work, as I am aware of it, is dealing in justice in
- 22 the arrest and the detention of people as I have repeatedly said.
- 23 The interrogation -- for example, if it has to be conducted in
- 24 details, properly, then the truth in the confession is probably
- 25 only 50 percent; that is the most, 50 percent is the truth. This

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 45

- 1 is based on my experience that I tried to interrogate Nget Sambun
- 2 for over one month, but there were a lot of lies. For instance,
- 3 Nget Sambun alias Rumpe told me that his superior was Bannh San.
- 4 I did not believe him. I knew Rumpe went to study but his
- 5 superior was not Bannh San.
- 6 And talking about the names, the name was completely different.
- 7 Lam Siek Minh, Lam Peng Siek, some of them are still alive but
- 8 based on the response they were sent to Amleang.
- 9 So, in conclusion, I observed that the confession was not correct
- 10 and I repeatedly said about a Chinese film, it was an
- 11 anti-espionage filmed by China, and as for the interrogation
- 12 cadre to consider it. But Cambodia did not take into
- 13 consideration the political side -- the scientific science in
- 14 doing their work and they were forced to work. They knew the
- 15 confession was not correct and the people who were implicated,
- 16 there were many of them.
- 17 [11.56.55]
- 18 This is what we knew but we could not avoid not doing it. And
- 19 after we talk about that then Uncle Nuon said they knew how to do
- 20 their work, therefore, the surviving documents, especially the
- 21 document dated the 9 October '75, the standing -- it was the
- 22 decision of the standing committee that I raised yesterday. When
- 23 Brother Pol -- that is Pol Pot -- talked about Comrade Mean,
- 24 Chann Chakkrey, do not believe the security, we talk about only
- 25 the party rank; that's what he said. So then the prosecutor -- I

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 46

- 1 see the problem here.
- 2 In conclusion, the security work or the Santebal work spread.
- 3 For example, the illegal arrest is an issue that I came to
- 4 receive the punishment, here before the Chamber; that is the
- 5 illegal arrest. Either illegal or legal arrest.
- 6 Let me return back to my response to you. S-21 smash members of
- 7 the standing committee, of the full rice committee, for example,
- 8 Brother Vorn, the standing committee member and the standing
- 9 committee member of Chou Cheat, I did not think this is the
- 10 gratitude of the S-21 to the nation. This is the problem of
- 11 double-cross.
- 12 So it's different from when the S-21 arrested the innocent
- 13 people, for example, Brother Rou Kot, the doctor, or Chao Seng;
- 14 this is different. So S-21 is in a confusing stage because it's
- 15 just an instrument of the proletarian class of the party.
- 16 I am just the absolute dictatorial instrument of the party and
- 17 this is why I bow myself before the Chamber and before the
- 18 nation, to accept my guilt.
- 19 [11.59.25]
- 20 Q.Let me put my question very simply. Did you or did you not
- 21 find security work interesting? Simple question.
- 22 A.Mr. Co-Prosecutor, I was not interested in the security work.
- 23 I aimed to be a teacher. I came to Phnom Penh to collect those
- 24 books, the teacher training books. I also collected some
- 25 instruments and then my superior laughed.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 47

- 1 So my interest was in teaching but not with this work -- this
- 2 line of work. This is my response to you.
- 3 Q.In the summer of 1975, let's say June, July, August 1975, have
- 4 you heard of a man by the name of Allen Dulles?
- 5 A.I never heard of that name. Please describe the background of
- 6 that man, please?
- 7 Q.He was the former director of the American CIA; Allen Dulles.
- 8 A.Yes, I understand. I read the books Allen Dulles.
- 9 Q. My next question. Are you aware that he wrote a book, which
- 10 in English is called "The Craft of Intelligence" but in French
- 11 the translation is -- and I'll speak in French -- "Les techniques
- 12 du rensignement". My question is, did you have or read a copy of
- 13 this book before you started work at S-21?
- 14 [12.02.06]
- 15 A.Mr. Co-Prosecutor, the book of technical of teaching, I
- 16 collected two books. One, I gave it to my superior, Son Sen, but
- 17 I keep one copy but I do not have time to read. In the meantime,
- 18 I found in a book but at once a resident, maybe.
- 19 It was about KGB. I did not keep it. Only one copy but I gave
- 20 it to the superior. Yes, we did have books but I did not read
- 21 those books.
- 22 Q.So you were collecting books before you started work at S 21.
- $\,$ One of those books was on the CIA and one of those books was on
- 24 the KGB, and you are telling us that you didn't read either of
- 25 them; they weren't interesting to you. Is that correct? Have I

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 48

- 1 understood it correctly?
- 2 A.Yes, it is true I was not interested. Allen Dulles wrote
- 3 about the one who takes the information, if you fail, you will be
- 4 cursed from everywhere. This is one of the points. When I read
- 5 that I did not interested, even though the President Eisenhower
- 6 who even -- Eisenhower, the President, tried to find the office
- 7 of CIA of Allen Dulles. I don't think it is helpful for me, but
- 8 the KGB book is the technical for teaching by Allen Dulles, and I
- 9 reported to the superior and I sent them the book there. And the
- 10 work I did there did not allow me to read any book or any
- 11 information.
- 12 [12.04.38]
- 13 Q.That answer is a little confusing for me. If you say you
- 14 didn't read the book by Allen Dulles, how are you able to give us
- 15 a quote from the book about what he said concerning President
- 16 Eisenhower? I didn't understand that.
- 17 A.I said earlier it's on the beginning of the book in the
- 18 introduction of the book. I did not finish the book and I was
- 19 not interested. Allen Dulles used these words, yes, I remember.
- 20 So when anyone who's a failure in collecting information, the
- 21 people will climb onto the roof and then curse you from the roof.
- 22 Q.You've told us earlier this morning that you found torture
- 23 books at the old Police Judiciaire. Can you tell us what those
- 24 books contained?
- 25 A.I did not even read that book. I just read a bit in the book

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 49

- 1 at the beginning and then another part. It was about the Pope,
- 2 and the Pope said that -- in that book -- if you beat one victim
- 3 and he implicates another person, if you make the full arrest who
- 4 will be responsible for that full arrest? And then I keep the
- 5 book away. To be honest, I don't have the time to read at the
- 6 time.
- 7 And I would like to tell you that I like to collect books, even
- 8 though they were from China, written by Lenin. I did not finish
- 9 my reading about the Communism theory. Yes, there were books
- 10 there. I read a little bit on the book about Leninism. It's the
- 11 works of Stalin. I remember only that phrase. Yes, I did read
- 12 that. Because I had no time, I spent most of the day to work, I
- 13 don't have any time -- I didn't have any time to read.
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Now it is time for us to break for lunch and the Trial Chamber
- 16 adjourns for lunch until 1.30 in the afternoon. And the security
- 17 shall take the accused to the detention facility and bring him
- 18 back before 1.30 this afternoon.
- 19 (Judges exit courtroom)
- 20 (Court recesses from 1207H to 1336H)
- 21 THE GREFFIER:
- 22 Please stand up.
- 23 (Judges enter courtroom)
- 24 [13.36.58]
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 50

- 1 The hearing on the facts which has been scheduled, and before I
- 2 give the floor -- before the Trial Chamber gives the floor to the
- 3 Co-Prosecutor to ask a question to the accused, the Trial Chamber
- 4 announces a decision as the following.
- 5 The Trial Chamber grants the request by the civil party group
- 6 number 1 to direct the parties to clarify on whose behalf a
- 7 request is being made on the documents filed by them.
- 8 The reasons. The civil party group number 2 filed a request on
- 9 the 26th of March 2009 seeking a ruling instructing the counsel
- 10 for the accused to tell the accused to refrain from gesture
- 11 towards them. They stated that this request was made after a
- 12 meeting of the 23 civil parties who had expressed their
- 13 discomfort at certain gestures made by the accused, which they
- 14 interpreted as being made in order to seek the sympathy of the
- 15 civil parties.
- 16 The lawyers for the civil party group number 1 asked not to be
- 17 associated with this request, noting that it appeared to have
- 18 been filed on behalf of all civil parties. The Trial Chamber
- 19 heard orally the expression from civil party group number 2 on
- 20 the 27th April 2009. No other parties asked to be heard.
- 21 Decider. It was not clear from the request filed by civil party
- 22 group number 2 that the request was filed on behalf of a limited
- 23 group of the civil parties. The request should clearly state on
- 24 whose behalf they are made for the benefit both of the Court and
- 25 the public generally.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 51

- 1 Point number 2, the Trial Chamber announced that it will hold
- 2 where the hearing conducted this morning. The Trial Chamber will
- 3 not sit from the 1st to the 15th of June, due to the fact that
- 4 the Pre-Trial Chamber has scheduled its hearing on that date,
- 5 which is scheduled by the Pre-Trial Chamber -- correction, from
- 6 the 1st to the 5th of June.
- 7 Once again, the Trial Chamber informed the party that the Trial
- 8 Chamber will not conduct its hearing from the 1st and the 5th of
- 9 June because the Pre-Trial Chamber need to conduct its hearing in
- 10 the Hearings Hall where it's planned by the Pre-Trial Chamber,
- 11 and the Trial Chamber will not plan to conduct its hearing in
- 12 July and August, on July -- or the Pre-Trial Chamber will take
- 13 from the 27 July through 31st, and the Trial Chamber and the
- 14 Pre-Trial Chamber will discuss this matter, and after its
- 15 discussion the Trial Chamber will notify the parties on that
- 16 matter.
- 17 Please, Your Honour Cartwright.
- 18 [13.42.30]
- 19 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 20 Just to clarify in English the second part of that ruling,
- 21 because it was not clear, the Trial Chamber does not plan to have
- 22 any recess in July and August of this year; however, the
- 23 Pre-Trial Chamber has noted that it intends to sit between the
- 24 27th of July and the 31st of July, and so the Presidents will
- 25 discuss whether that can be clarified or not.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 52

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Please, Counsel François Roux?
- 4 [13.43.34]
- 5 MR. ROUX:
- 6 Just to draw the Chamber's attention to our interpretation
- 7 problems which sometimes lead to complete opposites being
- 8 translated, I have just understood that the Chamber does not have
- 9 the intention of adjourning in July and August, whereas first it
- 10 was said that the Chamber will not be holding hearings in July
- 11 and August.
- 12 I would like once more to draw the Chamber's attention to this.
- 13 This is a judicial proceeding. Do you realize the disastrous
- 14 consequences that we might have in respect of some of the
- 15 statements made by the accused or witnesses when, after an
- 16 interpretation is provided, you have a meaning that is completely
- 17 opposite to what was intended? I just wish respectfully to draw
- 18 the attention of everyone concerned to this issue. Thank you.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Please, Judge Cartwright?
- 21 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 22 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 23 Mâitre Roux, that was precisely why I corrected the English
- 24 immediately. And I'm sure that all of my colleagues in the Trial
- 25 Chamber would agree that if lawyers for any party see a similar

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 53

- 1 error they should advise us immediately.
- 2 Thank you.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Next, please prosecutors, continue your question to the accused
- 5 please.
- 6 [13.45.53]
- 7 MR. BATES:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President. Once again I shall try to make my
- 9 questions as short as possible and speak as clearly as I can so
- 10 that the interpreters can do their best to interpret faithfully.
- 11 They are doing a very difficult job in difficult circumstances
- 12 and I hope all parties can accommodate them by speaking in this
- 13 way.
- 14 BY MR. BATES:
- 15 Q.Before lunch, Mr. Kaing Guek Eav, we were talking about the
- 16 books you had gathered before S-21 became operational in October
- 17 1975. Just so that I'm completely clear, your evidence is before
- 18 S-21 started its operations you had personally collected one, a
- 19 book by the former director of the CIA, Mr. Allen Dulles; two, a
- 20 book on the KGB; and three, a book or books on torture in the
- 21 previous Lon Nol regime and you never read any of them. Have I
- 22 understood that correctly?
- 23 A. Your understanding is correct based on my statement. I wanted
- 24 to read but I don't have time. But some of the book was not -- I
- 25 was not interested in some of the books.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 54

- 1 Q.After S-21 became operational from October 1975 onwards, is it
- 2 your evidence that you didn't read any of those books from then
- 3 on either? At no stage during the time you were director of
- 4 deputy director did you read any of those three books? Is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 [13.48.13]
- 7 A.I would like to confirm that the readings of books, for
- 8 example the teaching techniques of the French order, but my
- 9 friend was not -- but I asked someone to do the translation but I
- 10 did not read those translations. I want to read the book on the
- 11 Communist theories, the book of Stalin. It's on Leninism. I
- 12 kept that apart because I was so busy with my work, but I have
- 13 some problem with my language ability. But I liked to read the
- 14 Communist theory, but based on my linguistic ability I could not
- 15 read that. I had no time to read.
- 16 Q.Perhaps it is my mistake. Was that a simple yes to my
- 17 question, you didn't read any of those three books that I
- 18 mentioned? Is that -- you can confirm that, can you?
- 19 A.I would like to tell you that I have a skim on that, but the
- 20 book on the KGB I did not read it at all. So about the technique
- 21 of teaching by Laurence, I read only a few pages about the
- 22 torture. I read the last part of the statement by Pope -- I
- 23 forget the number of the Pope.
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Judge Lavergne, the floor is yours.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 55

- 1 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 2 For appropriate interpretation would it be possible to have the
- 3 exact name of the author on interrogation techniques? Because
- 4 the way it is interpreted he seems to be French and I am somewhat
- 5 concerned that this is not true. I do not think it was Alain
- 6 Deleuve (phonetic) and I do not think Alain Deleuve was ever the
- 7 director of the CIA.
- 8 [13.51.34]
- 9 MR. BATES:
- 10 Thank you, Your Honour.
- 11 Can I spell the name? The first name is A-L-L-E-N, initial W,
- 12 surname D-U-L-L-E-S. It's certainly not French, to my
- 13 understanding.
- 14 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 15 And the same goes for a book said to be written by the Pope?
- 16 MR. BATES:
- 17 That, I'm afraid, Your Honour, I know nothing about. The accused
- 18 would have to be asked specifically about that.
- 19 I should say that I have the book by Allen Dulles, if the Court
- 20 wishes it, but I wasn't intending putting it before the Trial
- 21 Chamber as this is a -- just one point. Thank you.
- 22 BY MR. BATES:
- 23 Q.I'm moving on to my next topic, Mr. Kaing Guek Eav, and that
- 24 is your suitability for the work at S-21. Before the meeting, or
- 25 should I say by the time of the meeting with Son Sen in August

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 56

- 1 1975 it is correct to say that you were a dedicated member of the
- 2 Communist Party of Kampuchea; that's correct?
- 3 A.Yes, that is correct. I joined the party member -- I became
- 4 the full rights member on the 20 of July '76 in Kampong Thom.
- 5 That's why I said my superior was not an ordinary party member.
- 6 He was a member of the -- he was a candidate member of the
- 7 standing committee. I myself was just an ordinary full rights
- 8 member of the party.
- 9 Let me restate it clearly again. I was a member of the party, a
- 10 full rights member of the party, and my superior was of the upper
- 11 echelon. He was a member of the standing committee of the
- 12 centre. He was a candidate member of the standing committee of
- 13 the centre. That is different.
- 14 [13.54.28]
- 15 Q.And as you've told us, you were considered by the party and by
- 16 your superiors as a loyal and trustworthy cadre. You can confirm
- 17 that, can't you?
- 18 A.From the works that I did under his supervision and now when I
- 19 consider the circumstances at the time, I believed he trusted me;
- 20 also that he had my trust for the party.
- 21 Q.It's also correct to say that you had a proven record in
- 22 interrogating enemies. You'd had four years at M 13. That is
- 23 correct, isn't it?
- 24 A.I apologize, Mr. Co-Prosecutor. Can you repeat your questions
- 25 because I had difficulty to understand the translation?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 57

- 1 Q.It is correct to say that by August 1975 you had had at least
- 2 four years' experience in working with interrogation procedures.
- 3 Isn't that correct?
- 4 A.Yes, I had experience -- right or wrong experience from what I
- 5 learnt at M 13.
- 6 [13.56.43]
- 7 Q.And it's also right to say, Mr. Kaing Guek Eav, that you had
- 8 -- and you still have -- an extremely good memory for names,
- 9 places and dates. Would you accept that?
- 10 A.I have a lot of memories. I have good memory but I am not
- 11 sure whether it is a 100 percent accurate or what is the
- 12 percentage of my memory but I myself, in comparison to other
- 13 people, I can remember more than them.
- 14 Q.Can we say then that as far as the party was concerned in
- 15 August 1975, you were the perfect candidate to control
- 16 interrogations at S 21?
- 17 MR. ROUX:
- 18 Mr. President, excuse me. Could you ask the prosecutor to avoid
- 19 closed questions, as it were? These are questions that contain
- 20 the response that the prosecutor is expecting: "Is it true to
- 21 say, is it right to say, do you agree with me that". It tends to
- 22 close off the accused in what one might describe as a closed
- 23 question, and I thought that this is not common in common law,
- 24 but perhaps my memory betrays me.
- 25 MR. BATES:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 58

- 1 Mr. President, I am seeking to put very simple, very
- 2 straightforward questions to the accused. As we have all had
- 3 experience in the manner in which he gives his answers, we have
- 4 remarked that the longer the question, the longer the answer, and
- 5 I am attempting on behalf of the Co-Prosecutors to elicit
- 6 information as simply and as clearly as I can. I make no apology
- 7 for the way I ask my questions, and I think the defence remarks
- 8 are inappropriate. I submit, I'm sorry, the defence remarks are
- 9 inappropriate.
- 10 (Deliberation between Judges)
- 11 [14.00.58]
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 The Trial Chamber took note of the objection by the defence
- 14 counsel and we consider it inappropriate. So the Co-Prosecutor
- 15 can continue his line of questioning in its existing form, or in
- 16 another form as you wish.
- 17 MR. BATES:
- 18 Thank you, Mr. President. I'll return to my last question, and
- 19 perhaps I can give a number of alternatives for the accused to
- 20 respond, and let him select which alternative he wishes.
- 21 BY MR. BATES:
- 22 Q.So we have established you've accepted that you were a
- 23 dedicated member of the Communist Party of Kampuchea. You were
- 24 considered by the party as loyal. You have previous considerable
- 25 experience in interrogation and you have or had then an extremely

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 59

- 1 good memory for names, dates and places.
- 2 My question was: can we say that for the party you were the
- 3 perfect candidate to work at S 21? Feel free to answer how you
- 4 wish: "No, I don't agree"; "Yes, I do agree"; or however you
- 5 choose. But please help us with that.
- 6 A.First, I would like to state that my true nature as a party
- 7 member -- and of course I am a party member -- but I am an
- 8 ordinary party member, and the quality that you read that I am a
- 9 dedicated party member, I will put that issue to be judged by
- 10 other people.
- 11 Now, let me talk about myself, my own quality and I cannot judge
- 12 myself, this is one thing. The other thing, yes, I remember a
- 13 lot but the question is, do I remember everything? I cannot
- 14 quarantee that.
- 15 [14.04.00]
- 16 Third, when I was selected it's because of my experience in
- 17 interrogation if it's compared to other people. Did they have
- 18 this comparison between myself and other people? I would not
- 19 know about that; this is their business.
- 20 But for the S-21, I could compare by making judgement from what
- 21 happened in the past between Nat and myself and whether they
- 22 trusted me or not. So the qualification that I -- that they gave
- 23 it to me then I would consider it. In what position they chose
- 24 me as the chairman after Nat? So that's only happen after it
- 25 already happened; when they looked back in the past we could see

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 60

- 1 how they thought.
- 2 So it is -- so it comes to the discussion on an analysis on the
- 3 opinions at the time but it is -- it is hard for us to say
- 4 actually what they thought at the time, this is just only our
- 5 analysis. This is my response to you.
- 6 The way the leader thought might be different and the way I judge
- 7 the situation might not be the same as way other people judge.
- 8 Q.Yesterday, you told the Court that you would rather die than
- 9 lie to anyone. Is that what you wished to say; you are happy
- 10 that that is a correct summary of what you said to the Court
- 11 yesterday?
- 12 A.That is true. I never dare to lie. I never dare to lie to my
- 13 superior. For example -- I give you a very simple example.
- 14 Before I had children, I never left the place, but when I had a
- 15 children at 4 or 5 p.m. went to the hospital, but before I
- 16 rushed to the hospital, I telephoned. So then the phone operator
- 17 would inform the brother that if he looked for me I would be at
- 18 the hospital, or if I went to dine at a Brother Huor's house or
- 19 Chhay Kim Hour's, then I would report. So I never lied. I never
- 20 kept it -- also, the discussion between myself and Brother $\mathop{\hbox{\rm Kim}}$
- 21 Huor on the spirit of Ta Ching, so I think if I go into detail it
- 22 will be very long.
- 23 [14.06.56]
- 24 This Ta Ching is about the raw petroleum from China and they said
- 25 that Ta Ching spirit was high and appropriate at the time, but

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 61

- 1 Brother Hor said, "Oh, the Chinese petroleum had a lot of lead in
- 2 it, and the American petroleum -- it's much better quality."
- 3 But one day on the telephone I slipped on this matter and then my
- 4 superior reminded me on this particular matter. So even for
- 5 minor things I did not hold back, but now that it comes to this
- 6 point and the Co-Prosecutor can ask me if I talk or say about
- 7 anything or why don't you -- why don't you tell on the facts that
- 8 you don't want to work for the security. That's what I said in
- 9 the past. It's not necessary to look at a shit to know what it
- 10 is made of.
- 11 So I have to find in my own means to leave and I would not be
- 12 able to say, okay, I hate the security work because it involve my
- 13 relative or something, then you would have your head roll off
- 14 your neck. That's what happened during the regime.
- 15 So we talk about life and death at the time. That is my response
- 16 to you.
- 17 O.At the beginning of that long answer you gave, you said that
- 18 you never lied to your superiors whilst you were working at S-21.
- 19 Did I understand that correctly?
- 20 A. The security work at the S-21, I never lied to him. Never
- 21 lied to him at all. Whatever I knew I reported immediately
- 22 because if I can't there could be a risk for me in the future.
- 23 [14.08.58]
- 24 Q.Telling -- I'm sorry; I'll start again. Do you recall telling
- 25 the Co-Investigating Judges that you didn't really believe that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 62

- 1 the confessions taken from victims were true?
- 2 I'll read out the passage from the interview dated the 1st of
- 3 April 2008. Document D67; in English the ERN, 00177634. And the
- 4 question by the Co-Investigating Judges:
- 5 "This leads to the question of the value you accorded to the
- 6 content of the confessions. Did you think they reflected the
- 7 truth? Did your ideas on this evolve over the years?"
- 8 And your answer:
- 9 "In fact, I did not believe them. In Koy Thuon's case, for
- 10 instance, ..."
- 11 K-O-Y T-H-U-O-N; spelling for the record.
- 12 "In Koy Thuon's case, for instance, I was sure it did not
- 13 correspond to the truth but I have no evidence to demonstrate it.
- 14 Even the standing committee, in my opinion, did not really
- 15 believe in it. It was about finding excuses to eliminate those
- 16 who represented obstacles."
- 17 And a little bit further on in your response, you say:
- 18 "In reality, already back at M-13 I knew that the confessions did
- 19 not reflect the truth."
- 20 [14.10.57]
- 21 So, first point, you accept today that that is what you want to
- 22 say to the Court? That is your evidence on the truth of the
- 23 confessions, is that correct, or do you want to tell us something
- 24 different?
- 25 A. The Co-Prosecutor, I don't understand your question and what

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 63

- 1 is your question? Please state it clearly.
- 2 Q.I read out a passage from your responses to the
- 3 Co-Investigating Judges. First of all, I merely want to ask, do
- 4 you accept what you said then? Is there anything that you wish
- 5 to change from what I've read out? It's a yes or no answer.
- 6 A.Let me point out one-by-one. Let's say the confession of Koy
- 7 Thuon so that we can make it clear.
- 8 Q.I'm asking the general point about whether the accused
- 9 accepted that the confessions were true or were not true. I
- 10 don't wish to get into the examples; we will be here for an
- 11 extremely long time.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 To make it more easier, please take the record of interview to
- 14 show to the accused and point -- specify the point that the
- 15 Co-Prosecutor would like to ask for clarification. The greffier,
- 16 please take the record of interview so that the accused can
- 17 indicate which part in D68 which the Co-Prosecutor intended for
- 18 the clarification from the accused.
- 19 THE ACCUSED:
- 20 Do you understand my explanation? Mr. President, with your
- 21 leave, if you want to hear my response during the investigation
- 22 stages, please do not show on the screen. Could the National
- $\,$ 23 $\,$ Co-Prosecutor read that passage which I responded to the
- 24 Co-Investigating Judges? And the question should be made by Mr.
- 25 Alex or by the National Co-Prosecutor regarding the statement I

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 64

- 1 made to the Co-Investigating Judges.
- 2 [14.14.42]
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Can we do like this, because we found that the question was not
- 5 well understood by the accused. Can the prosecutor reframe or
- 6 make your question easier so that the accused can understand and
- 7 respond?
- 8 MR. BATES:
- 9 Mr. President, I can make this much, much simpler, and I can ask
- 10 him -- ask the accused now, today, sitting in the box in front of
- 11 the Court whether he believed at the time he worked at S-21 that
- 12 the confessions were true or whether he believed they were false.
- 13 BY MR BATES:
- 14 Q. That is the point I wish to make.
- 15 A. Those confessions I reported to the hearings many times, and I
- 16 indicated to the Investigating Judges many times, they did not
- 17 reflect the truth. This is my statement. They did not reflect
- 18 the truth.
- 19 MR. ROUX:
- 20 Mr. President, sorry for interrupting once again. You asked the
- 21 parties to try to ask questions that not had been asked
- 22 previously, and indeed, concerning the question of the
- 23 confessions, the accused said very often, and this morning again,
- 24 that he did not believe in the confessions or at least did not
- 25 believe that they were 50 percent true, so must we waste time

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 65

- 1 going back to the same questions -- to these same questions?
- 2 [14.17.25]
- 3 Mr. President, Your Honours, maybe the time has come to ask a
- 4 question -- to put a question to the Chamber and to the
- 5 Co-Prosecutors. The Co-Prosecutors transmitted to the accused a
- 6 very long document called "Acknowledgement of the Facts" --
- 7 recognition of the facts. The accused spent hours and hours
- 8 analyzing this document and addressing this document. It is the
- 9 prosecutor's document concerning the segment concerning the
- 10 creation of S-21. It is a segment that contains 28 paragraphs,
- 11 and for only one, single paragraph, the accused answered that he
- 12 did not agree, and for two paragraphs, the accused said, "I agree
- 13 partially", and for three paragraphs he said, "non-disputed", and
- 14 for all of the other paragraphs he said he agrees.
- 15 So therefore, it seems to me that if we really want to make this
- 16 trial move ahead after the -- and since many relevant questions
- 17 have already been asked by the parties in this Chamber, the
- 18 questions -- the Co-Prosecutor's questions should have been based
- 19 on the paragraphs that the accused disputed, and eventually the
- 20 two paragraphs which the accused agreed to partially; otherwise
- 21 we're going to spend weeks and months working in a completely
- 22 useless way on this document -- this document that has been
- 23 presented by the prosecutors. So it might be time, since we are
- 24 running out of time, to figure out what we are going to do with
- 25 this document. Thank you, Mr. President.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 66

- 1 MR. BATES:
- 2 I'm grateful to Mâitre Roux to bring us all back to the agreed
- 3 facts, and perhaps it was a rather inelegant way of putting a
- 4 simple question on my part, but the Co-Prosecutors still
- 5 understand that we bear the burden of proving the case. And the
- 6 status of the agreed facts do not remove that burden from the
- 7 Co-Prosecutors, but I take my learned friend's point. I don't
- 8 wish us to take more time on this particular issue and with your
- 9 leave, Mr. President, I would like to move on to the next point
- 10 of this issue.
- 11 [14.21.06]
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 The Trial Chamber notes that the objection made by the defence
- 14 lawyer on the questioning of repeating questions, it is correct.
- 15 And so the prosecutor, please be cautious to avoid any repeating
- 16 question, and the repeated questions, it's not only other facts
- 17 -- this fact, but other facts under M-13, and the accused's
- 18 response, so if you asked that again it would be a repeated
- 19 question. The Trial Chamber reminds you to try to ask the
- 20 questions based on the facts listed for the hearing so that we
- 21 can hear every fact.
- 22 And the remark by the defence counsel regarding the agreed facts,
- 23 the non-contested facts, and the facts that are agreed partially,
- 24 and the Trial Chamber has discussed this matter, and we cannot
- 25 avoid any hearing -- any discussion because it is a criminal

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 67

- 1 case, but we need to limit the technical of the hearing. We need
- 2 to find a better way to conduct the hearing, so if there's no
- 3 objection the hearing will use lesser time than usual.
- 4 And to move on, please, Mr. Co-Prosecutor, continue your
- 5 question.
- 6 MR. BATES:
- 7 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 8 BY MR. BATES:
- 9 Q.Regarding the confessions that you did not believe were true
- 10 or what you told us this morning -- 50 percent -- did you ever
- 11 tell your superiors or anyone with whom you worked at S-21 that
- 12 you did not believe the content of the confessions was true?
- 13 A.In relating to telling to the staff, I think that the lesson
- 14 that I taught to my subordinates, who took notes of that lesson,
- 15 it can show everyone should pay more attention. This is the term
- 16 that I taught to the subordinates. So it shows that the
- 17 confession was not true.
- 18 Number two, there was evidence and proof. Please, Co-Prosecutor,
- 19 look to the notebook of Brother Mam Nai. I describe about a
- 20 Chinese film and you read -- it's the bullet and the button I
- 21 described about that.
- 22 So the interrogation lesson, I told them it was difficult, so I
- 23 did not tell anything about the confession to my subordinates,
- 24 whether it is true -- 50 percent true. I did not tell them that.
- 25 But I need to tell them to be careful, to work on and on.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 68

- 1 [14.25.06]
- 2 And regarding a report to the senior, I never told this to the
- 3 superior that the confessions are true or not. So when an arrest
- 4 is made against someone I try to complain to the senior. I told
- 5 him that it seemed not to be true and the superior told me, "What
- 6 happened to you, Duch? This is the confession by Khuon. This is
- 7 the statement to me and to the superior." But both of us knew
- 8 that a certain number of confessions were not true.
- 9 I told him that maybe the victim was not true and he told me that
- 10 it was the conversation of contemptible Kuon. And this is the
- 11 real situation that I would like to bring up for analysis. But
- 12 if you want me to confirm that I tell this to the superior, I
- 13 dare not tell the subordinate in open, otherwise I will be
- 14 beheaded.
- 15 So in my capacity as the chairman I had to do the job, whether or
- 16 not I like it. I need to tell them everyone should try to work
- 17 hard so that you can get the truth to the maximum. And if you
- 18 want me to confirm just one part of it on all confession, I
- 19 cannot do that.
- 20 [14.27.00]
- 21 Q.I'd like to move on to the committee of S-21 when you were
- 22 deputy. To establish S-21 is it correct that a committee was
- 23 created which followed the same format as other Communist units?
- 24 What I mean is there's a chairman, a deputy chairman and a
- 25 member. The chairman was Nat, the deputy was yourself and the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 69

- 1 member was Hor.
- 2 My question is how often did this committee of S-21 meet whilst
- 3 you were deputy in the early days?
- 4 A.Mr. Co-Prosecutor, when I was deputy the meeting among the
- 5 three of us, there was no -- one at the most. But I was always
- 6 with Nat. Nat asked me to do the technical matter from one step
- 7 to another. So if the Co-Prosecutor would like to know, I can
- 8 explain about that.
- 9 Q.I'm interested in asking you, the one meeting of the S-21
- 10 committee, when was it and what was discussed?
- 11 A.Mr. Co-Prosecutor, the only one meeting that we intended is
- 12 the annual assembly of the S-21, and I reported to the
- 13 Co-Investigating Judge everybody attended that assembly. Nat was
- 14 the chairman but the one who chaired the meeting with the
- 15 doctrines of the Communist Party theory, Nat allowed me to do
- 16 that, to preside -- to chair the meeting.
- 17 At that time we discussed and we needed to continue the socialist
- 18 revolution and to build up the socialisms. When there's a matter
- 19 arise what should we do? And we continued our discussion till
- 20 late at night and then we could understand the matter. This is
- 21 the meetings among the four -- the four of us. It's open widely
- 22 to all party members at S-21 can attend it and listen to that
- 23 discussion.
- 24 After that most of the time Nat asked me to do certain technical
- 25 matters. So it is the meeting of the party committee of S-21 in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 70

- 1 late 1975, early 1976.
- 2 [14.30.53]
- 3 MR. BATES:
- 4 Mr. President, I'm moving on to a new topic. Do you wish me to
- 5 continue this topic now or do you wish the Chamber to rise for a
- 6 break?
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 You can continue. It's not yet time for a break.
- 9 MR. BATES:
- 10 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 11 BY MR. BATES:
- 12 Q.I want to ask a little bit more about your own training and
- 13 the people from whom you learnt your skills in interrogation and
- 14 torture. You've told us that Vorn Vet taught you at M-13 about
- 15 the method of plastic bags over the head and to look for the
- 16 throbbing vein at the side of the neck of prisoners to determine
- 17 whether they were telling the truth or not. I don't understand
- 18 where you learnt other forms of interrogation or torture. From
- 19 whom did you learn them; which books did you use? Perhaps you
- 20 can help us.
- 21 [14.32.40]
- 22 A.I would like to state to the Co-Prosecutor that the learning
- 23 of how to torture from him, there's only two types. First it's
- 24 the use of the plastic bag over the head. And as I already
- 25 reported this to the Chamber, I myself I did not have any plastic

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 71

- 1 bag while I was at M-13. And when I was at S-21, yes, we had the
- 2 plastic bag but we had -- we still maintain the principle. It
- 3 means we -- if it's not necessary we did not have to use the
- 4 plastic bag myself.
- 5 And, on finding the pulse on the side of the neck, if the pulse
- 6 is strong it means the person was aware or if in the legal term
- 7 it means the person was guilty because he was excited.
- 8 So from my experience maybe these observation was not effective.
- 9 [14.33.50]
- 10 Q. I'm sorry, I'll repeat my question more simply; apart from
- 11 Von Vet who else taught you personally the techniques of
- 12 interrogation and torture? I'm not talking about the types but
- 13 I'm talking about the person who taught you.
- 14 A. The person who taught me how to torture was the Lon Nol
- 15 regime; that is number one. The inspector at PJ, he beat the
- 16 Khmer Rouge prisoners and I knew, even if the Sihanouk police at
- 17 Tuol Kork did not beat me up but the inspector Chhin Iv and
- 18 Inspector Cheu Hom beat the Khmer Rouge. That happened in the
- 19 Lon Nol regime and that Lon Nol regime taught me.
- 20 And second, it's the French regime, the French tortured members
- 21 of the Vietnamese Labour Party and in the Khmer translation it
- 22 was from prison to Koh Tralaeh.
- 23 That's -- that what describe the types of torture; that's how --
- 24 that's about the forms of torture and that's what I knew and
- 25 that's what I learned.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 72

- 1 So, in total, in M-13, the interrogation -- let me tell you, Mr.
- 2 Co-Prosecutor, in the end when I saw the failure of finding the
- 3 weapons toward the end of '73 the best people at Amleang, about
- 4 50 or 60 of them, I was so upset, so I had to think of a proper
- 5 interrogation method.
- 6 So I looked at the theory of Mao Tse Tung that he wrote in his
- 7 book. The theory was from Sun Tzu the warlord -- the great
- 8 warlord of China. You have to know yourself clearly and know
- 9 your enemy clearly. If you know yourself and enemy clearly in
- 10 100 battles you will never be defeated once.
- 11 So that is the theory I used in my experiment. So I drew
- 12 experiment from that. So during interrogation on Nat Son Bon
- 13 (phonetic) I learned from that experience and that's the truth,
- 14 might be up to 50 percent, the substance.
- 15 [14.37.11]
- 16 And the teacher who taught I did not believe much of it, maybe 30
- 17 percent of it, but for those who were sent to the countryside, I
- 18 think for those probably their confession was zero percent true.
- 19 So my experience from the interrogations was a combination from
- 20 all this and I learned it by myself. But the younger generation
- 21 did not -- cannot do that. But for Comrade Pon, I trained him in
- 22 this criminal activity and he was skilful but he was not as
- 23 competent as me.
- 24 So this is what I would like to tell you, the Co-Prosecutor,
- 25 nobody taught me; I self-taught and I did not go to learn it from

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 73

- 1 Chinese trainer or from American trainer. And about the beating
- 2 up, I learned it from the Lon Nol and the French inspectors, the
- 3 police.
- 4 Q. Earlier this morning in response to questions from my
- 5 colleague, Mr. Tan Senarong, you described how there were three
- 6 types of documents that were used to train you in the months just
- 7 before S-21.
- 8 And the three documents were political, psychological and
- 9 assignment -- at least that was the translation I heard. Can you
- 10 tell us what you mean by political, psychological and assignment
- 11 documents and perhaps give us some examples of what those
- 12 documents actually were?
- 13 [14.39.18]
- 14 A.It is not difficult for me to repeat again and I would like to
- 15 state it again as well. Because the study measured at the
- 16 communities is a bit strange. From what I learned from 1971 I
- 17 did not talk about the secret sessions that I studied at another
- 18 house in 3160 -- 163, but from 1971 the documents fall into these
- 19 three categories; one is the political document and what is a
- 20 political document; it's a view on the situation. For example,
- 21 this year, what is the situation of the enemy and what is our
- 22 situation.
- 23 For instance, in 1971, in that year that enemy was Lon Nol and
- 24 then the coup d'etat was successful but the people did not
- 25 support it and we have only a small percentage of the liberated

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 74

- 1 zone. And then the King Sihanouk was the opportunity for us.
- 2 That was in the political document.
- 3 And what is the ideological document; the ideological document is
- 4 about self. Us, as a party member we have to work harder than
- 5 the masses but we have to receive the product after the masses;
- 6 that is the training.
- 7 On another point which is -- you can call it the ideological
- 8 document. For example, the war spoils of the party, it's not
- 9 your property, it belongs to the party. So if the party requires
- 10 it then the party take it; if not, you just keep it for the
- 11 party. So this is a type of document on ideology.
- 12 And let me continue; when we have a conflict or disagreement we
- 13 want to win. That is wrong. It means we serve ourself more than
- 14 the interests of the party. We have to facilitate, to
- 15 compromise, to listen to the party and then we have to join hands
- 16 to find the enemy. That is the type of the document on ideology.
- 17 [14.42.02]
- 18 And what about the organizational document; in 1973 there was a
- 19 line -- and I talk about that line frequently because it has its
- 20 criminal nature.
- 21 So whatever the class is, the line is for that class. For
- 22 example, for the proletarian class then the law is for that
- 23 proletarian class, and the cadre, if they are proletarians they
- 24 will be dropped.
- 25 So if you are not proletarian in the proletarian class you had to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 75

- strive to be in that proletarian class and don't just think about
- 2 your own promotion and don't just to be easy and comfortable by
- 3 yourself without looking at the difficulty of the masses, then
- 4 that is wrong.
- 5 So what is your class origin, whatever it is, you had to learn to
- 6 be proletarian, otherwise you would be dismissed. So that is the
- 7 type of the documents on the organization and every year the
- 8 documents fell into these three categories: political,
- 9 ideological and organizational, and that was up to the day of
- 10 this -- that was up to the study session in 1973 when it
- 11 finished. There was no longer such document.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Now it's time for a break. The Chamber declares a 20-minute
- 14 break until 3.00. Please return at 3.00 to continue our
- 15 proceeding.
- 16 (Judges exit courtroom)
- 17 (Court recesses from 1443H to 1520H)
- 18 THE GREFFIER:
- 19 All rise.
- 20 (Judges enter courtroom)
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Please be seated.
- 23 The Chamber is now back in session. Before our adjournment, the
- 24 floor was taken by the Co-Prosecutor, and they haven't yet
- 25 finished their questioning so now the Co-Prosecutor, you may

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 76

- 1 continue your questioning. Thank you.
- 2 MR. BATES:
- 3 Thank you, Mr. President. Last week, the accused mentioned a
- 4 person called Sar Phorn, S-a-r P-h-o-r-n, and described him as
- 5 the Deputy Governor of Phnom Penh in the Lon Nol regime.
- 6 Mr. President, can I seek your leave to put a document on the
- 7 screen for all parties to view? This is the first of the
- 8 documents on the list that I circulated yesterday morning.
- 9 [15.21.22]
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Yes, the Trial Chamber agrees. Will you do your own slide?
- 12 MR. BATES:
- 13 Yes, my associate, Mr. Ford, is ready with a computer.
- 14 If I can also ask the Audio-Visual Unit to switch the Court
- 15 monitor to the computer of Mr. Ford. Thank you.
- 16 And the document that I wish to show to the accused in Khmer has
- 17 the ERN number of 00227634, and there are both English and French
- 18 translations of this page, and I'll come on to that in a moment.
- 19 So if I can ask the accused to look at 00227634.
- 20 [15.22.41]
- 21 BY MR BATES:
- 22 Q.Mr. Kaing Guek Eav, can you look at this writing on the screen
- 23 that you see before you? And first off all, can you tell us,
- 24 please, whose writing that is, if you recognize it?
- 25 A.Mr. Co-Prosecutor, this is my handwriting.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 77

- 1 [15.23.28]
- 2 Q.And perhaps if you could please read out, slowly, what you
- 3 have written on that particular note.
- 4 A.Our intention that we want is, one, the actual location of the
- 5 group. So the word "one" here is what I included, just for
- 6 clarification. So our purpose is we want the actual location of
- 7 the group, their names, their physical descriptions and their
- 8 plan, full stop.
- 9 This confession is misleading because we instructed them the way
- 10 to write it clearly; require the Brother to be masterly, to press
- 11 them firmly and the basic torture. However, to constantly follow
- 12 their confession, to show them the right direction on time but do
- 13 not let him die.
- 14 MR. BATES:
- 15 Can I ask Mr. Ford to please move to the page immediately before
- 16 that.
- 17 BY MR BATES:
- 18 Q.We don't have a translation of this in English or Khmer, but I
- 19 wonder if the accused can please confirm whether any name appears
- 20 on that page at the top, and also help us with the date; tell us
- 21 what the date is.
- 22 A.I believe, although there is no English translation, the
- 23 National Co-Prosecutor and the Co-Prosecutor can identify that
- 24 the name is Sar Phorn on the top of the page, and the date is 9
- 25 September '75.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 78

- 1 MR. BATES:
- 2 Can I ask Mr. Ford to show the next page we wish to show to the
- 3 accused, which is 00227723, and there are two parts to this page.
- 4 [15.26.47]
- 5 Q.Firstly, can I ask the accused to tell us whose handwriting is
- 6 in the upper part of the page, the one that is being currently
- 7 displayed on the screen.
- 8 A. This is my handwriting. This is my actual handwriting.
- 9 O.And please, Mr. Kaing Guek Eav, if you could read out what you
- 10 have written once again.
- 11 A.This is not actually a letter but it is my note to the victim
- 12 who was being investigated.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 I notice the defence counsel.
- 15 MR. ROUX:
- 16 Mr. President, I would like -- on behalf of the defence I wish
- 17 not that we request the accused to read what is written down on
- 18 this document. He may comment what he wrote more than 30 years,
- 19 but making him read today something that he wrote more than 30
- 20 years ago is not something that is satisfactory. He may comment
- 21 it but I do not wish that he read it himself.
- 22 So if someone wants to read it and translate it, I have no
- 23 problems with that, but I have a problem that he reads it
- 24 himself, therefore that he gives the impression that he is taking
- 25 it on for himself 30 years later.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 79

- 1 [15.29.07]
- 2 MR. BATES:
- 3 Mr. President, I'm very happy to read the translation that we
- 4 have been provided by the translation services. It was felt by
- 5 the Co-Prosecutors that the best person to give the best
- 6 translation of this was the accused. If the President directs me
- 7 otherwise I'm, of course, quite happy to read the English
- 8 translation that I have, and perhaps the accused can correct it
- 9 if it's wrong. We're in your hands, Mr. President.
- 10 MR. ROUX:
- 11 I would like the Greffier to do the reading and then we will ask
- 12 all the questions that we wish. We will put all the questions
- 13 that we wish to the accused but I do not wish that he read the
- 14 document himself. Thank you.
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 The objection raised by François Roux is appropriate on the issue
- 17 of the requirement for the accused to read his writing. It is
- 18 enough or sufficient for the accused to acknowledge that it is
- 19 his handwriting, and the Chamber notes that. On the reading of
- 20 the document, the Chamber has a Greffier to read all relevant
- 21 documents in order to manage a smooth proceeding during the
- 22 hearing.
- 23 So for the Co-Prosecutor or for any other parties who wish a
- 24 document to be read, please raise the issue to the Chamber and
- 25 the Chamber will assign a Greffier to read that particular

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 80

- 1 document from now until the end of the proceedings of the trial.
- 2 The Greffier, Mr. Duch Phary, you can read the document that the
- 3 Co-Prosecutor attempts to note its content which -- and the
- 4 document is already acknowledged by the accused.
- 5 [15.31.42]
- 6 MR. BATES:
- 7 Mr. President, just for the assistance of the Greffier, we just
- 8 wish the Greffier to read out the first half of the page. So you
- 9 will see, Mr. Greffier, there is some text; there are points 1,
- 10 2, 3 and 4; there are two more lines and then there is an
- 11 underlining mark. It's only those lines that we wish you to
- 12 read. Thank you.
- 13 THE GREFFIER:
- 14 "He sent the people to Amleang. He answered he gave more people
- 15 than those in his previous statement: Neou Mardy, Nop Son, Chey
- 16 Narith and another person. The name is forgotten.
- 17 Point number 3. He said he used to be involved with Nuon in
- 18 1970 to lead the artist group in Phnom Penh. Later on Nuon left
- 19 to Sector 25. He was not sent there."
- 20 MR. BATES:
- 21 I'm afraid the greffier read the wrong section. It would be of
- 22 assistance to all parties if the document could be displayed on
- 23 the screen at the time the greffier is reading it so that we're
- 24 all clear that it's the same document that's being referred to.
- 25 So if we can please put up the document again on the screens.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 81

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 THE GREFFIER:
- 3 "Request to provide detailed information as follows: One, is
- 4 there any JKACI office? If there is, where is it? State street,
- 5 location, floor, as clearly as possible.
- 6 Two, the re-education camp in Boeng Trabaek also needs to give
- 7 the location as clearly as you, Pon, know.
- 8 Three, for the seven people, how many of them go abroad and how
- 9 many are still in the country and who are they?
- 10 Four, clearly state each person's parents, home address as
- 11 clearly as possible. For those who have husbands and wives, give
- 12 the home address of their parents in-law."
- 13 [15.35.30]
- 14 MR. BATES:
- 15 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 16 And the final section of this confession of Sar Phorn that we
- 17 wish to be read out.
- 18 BY MR. BATES:
- 19 Q. First of all, can I ask the accused to look at this page,
- 20 which is 00227729, and the box that has been highlighted, the top
- 21 box that's been highlighted. Could the accused please tell us
- 22 whose handwriting this is?
- 23 A.This is not my handwriting. This is possible this is Brother
- 24 Mam Nai's handwriting.
- 25 Q. Thank you. And can I ask you to look at the next box below on

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 82

- 1 the same page and ask you again, do you recognize that
- 2 handwriting?
- 3 A.I still think this is Mam Nai's handwriting.
- 4 [15.37.07]
- 5 MR. BATES:
- 6 So Mr. Greffier, please, you could read out those two boxes that
- 7 we've just referred to, starting with the top one -- if we can
- 8 move to the top one first. And again, just the portion which is
- 9 outlined in blue, Mr. Greffier, if you could read that. Thank
- 10 you.
- 11 THE GREFFIER:
- 12 The abbreviation is TT and "Thapp Nan Ngin, CIA through
- 13 contemptible Ngin."
- 14 MR. BATES:
- 15 Thank you, Mr. Greffier. And please if you could read the bottom
- 16 annotation as well. Thank you.
- 17 [15.38.10]
- 18 THE GREFFIER:
- 19 "Activity. Sent the children to USA in order for Run (phonetic)
- 20 to become CIA".
- 21 BY MR. BATES:
- 22 Q.My question to the accused. In relation to the date of this
- 23 document, we have seen already on page 1, the 9th of September
- 24 1975. Can you confirm that you were, together with your
- 25 colleagues and subordinates, interrogating Sar Phorn and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 83

- 1 annotating his confessions?
- 2 A.Mr. Co-Prosecutor, I hope you have read the documents of Sar
- 3 Phorn on each page and make your judgment. But I would like to
- 4 state that perhaps you can have more understanding on the case of
- 5 Sar Phorn.
- 6 In 1973 or in '74 -- I am not clear on the year -- this person
- 7 Sar Phorn was not the deputy governor of Phnom Penh City, he was
- 8 the deputy governor of Doun Penh District. This Sar Phorn is the
- 9 deputy governor of the Doun Penh District. He sent one child to
- 10 Amleang and the name of the child was Nao Mardy. In his
- 11 confession returned by Brother Mam Nai the name of the child was
- 12 Nao Mardy.
- 13 When the southwest group arrested a person and sent it to M-13 I
- 14 assigned Comrade Pon to interrogate and he said Sar Phorn, the
- 15 deputy governor of Doun Penh District , sent him to work in the
- 16 countryside because he had his relatives living in Amleang.
- 17 [15.41.32]
- 18 MR. BATES:
- 19 Mr. President, I don't wish to stop the accused from talking, but
- 20 on this occasion the question was simple: was he involved with
- 21 his subordinates in interrogating? Unless the Trial Chamber
- 22 finds it interesting for the whole history to be discussed, the
- $23\,$ $\,$ point I was seeking to make was that at that early time he indeed
- 24 was the interrogator or annotator of this confession. I don't
- 25 wish to explore the detail of this confession with the accused

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 84

- 1 and I'd be grateful if the accused could be directed not to
- 2 discuss in detail this confession.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 The accused, do you understand the question? If you don't
- 5 understand you can ask for clarification and then the
- 6 Co-Prosecutor can reframe his question.
- 7 THE ACCUSED:
- 8 Mr. President, I understand the question but my intention was to
- 9 have a better understanding so that the audience in this hearing
- 10 -- do Mr. President allow me to do so or just to give a short
- 11 answer?
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Please answer in short answer.
- 14 THE ACCUSED:
- 15 When he arrived on the 1st of September 1975 Sar Phorn was
- 16 detained in the Monireth resident.
- 17 My superior, Son Sen, wanted me to interrogate but I don't have
- 18 time to interrogate because I was busy with collecting the
- 19 documents from various resident and then not like to use Mam Nai
- 20 to interrogate Sar Phorn and advise me to help in the
- 21 interrogation. So I was the one who order to Mam Nai and this
- 22 document was prepared by Mam Nai, and Mam Nai was the one who
- 23 interrogate. And S-21 was not established then and Nat ask Mam
- 24 Nai to -- for help in that case.
- 25 [15.44.23]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 85

- 1 BY MR. BATES:
- 2 Q.Thank you. Can I move on to the next document to which we
- 3 seek to put before the Trial Chamber of Um Soeun. And is it
- 4 possible, please, for the Audio/Visual Unit to transfer to the
- 5 link.
- 6 I'm sorry, Mr. President, I didn't seek your leave. Can I seek
- 7 your leave to display this next document before the Court?
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Yes, the Chamber allows it.
- 10 MR. BATES:
- 11 Thank you. And I -- can I please ask the audio/visual team to
- 12 direct the screen to my colleague's Mr. Ford's computer so that
- 13 we can display the document?
- 14 BY MR. BATES:
- 15 Q.So can we please look at ERN in the Khmer original -- or
- 16 should I say the photocopy of the original, 00172792 and my first
- 17 question to the accused, can you look at the annotation in red
- 18 and can you please tell us whose handwriting this is?
- 19 A.My handwriting.
- 20 [15.46.12]
- 21 MR. BATES:
- 22 And if the Greffier could please read what is written in red.
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Please, Duch Phary, read the handwriting.
- 25 THE GREFFIER:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 86

- 1 "Did not confess torture."
- 2 BY MR. BATES:
- 3 Q. Thank you. And moving on to the second excerpt from Um
- 4 Soeun's confession, ERN in the Khmer original, 00172799. It
- 5 might be a little difficult to see but we'll highlight it here.
- 6 Could the accused please tell us if he recognizes that
- 7 handwriting and if so, whose it is?
- 8 A.Yes, I know; my handwriting.
- 9 MR. BATES:
- 10 And please, Mr. Greffier, if you may read, with the President's
- 11 leave, that inscription, if it's possible.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Duch Phary, please read the handwritings.
- 14 [15.47.59]
- 15 THE GREFFIER:
- 16 "It was about the name of Meas Keng, if it's really Meas Keng's
- 17 name, please Comrade Chhory under the effort of the leadership of
- 18 Pol it's like to disclose or to beat -- to get a cut on the face,
- 19 to confirm, this female is like those Oum Soeun -- like those of
- 20 Oum Soeun."
- 21 MR. BATES:
- 22 Mr. President, for the record, if we may read out what we have
- 23 translated in English which is:
- 24 "Maybe it was Meas Keng, if it was Meas Keng under the leadership
- 25 of So Teng hit him on the face. For this lady, ChankriTheary it

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 87

- 1 is the same as Oum Soeun's people."
- 2 And those translations are available in English and French.
- 3 BY MR. BATES:
- 4 Q.And the third portion of Um Soeun's confession that I wish to
- 5 call on the screen is 00172800. And again, if the accused could
- 6 please look at the annotations, all three, on the side, whose
- 7 handwriting that is, if he's able to tell us?
- 8 A. These three annotation were mine.
- 9 MR. BATES:
- 10 And again, if the Greffier is able to read, if not we can read
- 11 out the translations that we have, both in English and French.
- 12 But if you are able to, Mr. Greffier, that would be helpful. If
- 13 you could read out all three.
- 14 [15.50.46]
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Please, Duch Phary, read clearly the annotation.
- 17 THE GREFFIER:
- 18 "Please specify clearly to follow the stands and the activity
- 19 proposed request. It is assignment measure. The teacher of this
- 20 contemptible if he did not know his family name, please ask the
- 21 identity, including teacher Chea Heang including Ouk Yeun, and we
- 22 should press, absolutely."
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Judge Lavergne, do you want to make any observations? Please do
- 25 so if you have.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 88

- 1 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 2 Just a question; for the record and to clarify matters. I
- 3 understand that there were translations in French and English,
- 4 would it be possible to have the reference numbers for these
- 5 translations?
- 6 MR. BATES:
- 7 Certainly, Your Honour.
- 8 For Um Soeun the English ERN is 00223147 and 00234676; and in
- 9 French, 00296037 to 38.
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Please, Mr. François Roux.
- 12 [15.53.15]
- 13 MR. ROUX:
- 14 Mr. President, I note that the translations that we have in
- 15 French are different from the translations that we have just
- 16 heard here in Court. I would be interested to know who
- 17 translated these documents. Perhaps the prosecutor can enlighten
- 18 us because, obviously, they are not the same translations as those
- 19 we have just heard read.
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 The Co-Prosecutor, can you respond to the request made by the
- 22 defence counsel?
- 23 MR. BATES:
- 24 The defence are aware that these translations were conducted --
- 25 performed by our office, the Office of the Co-Prosecutors. If

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 89

- 1 the defence have any difficulties with any of the translations,
- 2 they need only raise them. Like us, they too have native Khmer
- 3 speakers and readers. And in fact, on the correction of the
- 4 Khmer that was translated, the English that I received through my
- 5 headphones was not the same as the English that I have read on
- 6 the page from our translation, and had confirmed by my national
- 7 colleague. In particular, the last phrase, which was, "Kru Ea,
- 8 Chey Horn and Ouk Yuen all will have to be crushed into pieces."
- 9 If there is a difficulty on the translation or any dispute into
- 10 the translation, then the defence need only raise it.
- 11 (Deliberation between Judges)
- 12 [15.58.01]
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Next, the translation issue might cause a problem, but the
- 15 difference was not material; maybe the problem in reading of the
- 16 handwriting, and it is not very clear, and the greffier could not
- 17 read it very well. And it might be the case that please, the
- 18 greffier, read clearly based on what you see on the annotation.
- 19 So Se Kolvuthy can help with that, to read the annotation
- 20 correctly.
- 21 And in case of urgency, the greffier could sit and read so that
- 22 when you stand and read, the position may cause you difficulty to
- 23 read so you are not right in front of the screen of the monitor.
- 24 So in the future, we may get it better.
- 25 Please, Mr. Co-Prosecutor.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 90

- 1 MR. ROUX:
- 2 Thank you, Mr. President, and I apologize for interrupting again,
- 3 but my problem is more a problem of method. I understand that
- 4 these documents have been translated by the Co-Prosecutors
- 5 Office, is that -- but if I'm not mistaken these are documents
- 6 that normally come from the Co-Investigating Judges Office. So
- 7 therefore, these documents should not be translated by the
- 8 Co-Prosecutors Office, which once again is a party to this trial
- 9 which is not an objective party.
- 10 So the answer kind of concerns me. Were these documents
- 11 therefore translated by the Co-Prosecutors Office? And if that
- 12 is the case, by whom? And was this done in-house or was this
- 13 outsourced? We know that these documents are sent to DC-Cam for
- 14 translation purposes, so you may understand why the defence is
- 15 concerned. These are documents that come from the
- 16 Co-Investigating Judges Office, and that are translated by we
- 17 don't know who at the Co-Prosecutors Office. I apologize but
- 18 this does concern me.
- 19 [16.00.58]
- 20 MR. BATES:
- 21 Mr. President, I think we are dealing with a non-issue here.
- 22 Earlier on today, the defence, through the accused, raised
- 23 documents that had not been translated. He was permitted to rely
- 24 on those documents, to bring them to the Court's attention, and
- 25 to have them verbally translated by the interpretation team in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 91

- 1 this courtroom.
- 2 How is it proposed that the Co-Prosecutors put documents before
- 3 the Trial Chamber, unless it can raise them in the Trial Chamber
- 4 as we are doing? My learned friend is mistaken when he raises
- 5 this as an issue. We are intending to put documents before the
- 6 Trial Chamber, we are doing so, and we are assisting the Trial
- 7 Chamber in providing the languages of -- all three languages of
- 8 this Court. I do not see a problem with that whatsoever.
- 9 MR PRESIDENT:
- 10 Judge Lavergne, you can make a comment.
- 11 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 12 I would like to have clarifications on what the Co-Prosecutors
- 13 are trying to prove. Do you intend, sir, only to prove that
- 14 there exist documents that are confessions that have been written
- 15 up at a specific date and on which exist annotations from the
- 16 accused? Or do you wish having more specific details on the
- 17 content of these annotations? Because I would like to have
- 18 clarifications on this because if we're only speaking about the
- 19 existence of these annotations at a specific date I think in that
- 20 case that maybe this would simplify things.
- 21 MR. BATES:
- 22 Thank you, Your Honour.
- 23 The Co-Prosecutors were raising it, not only to show that Duch at
- 24 the time was ordering -- was annotating the confessions, but also
- 25 that as we have read out he was ordering torture, and he was

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 92

- 1 ordering that certain enemies be crushed into bits at the very
- 2 early stage in the establishment of S-21. It's twofold,
- 3 therefore. One, that he was there and annotating and, two, the
- 4 content of those annotations describe his role.
- 5 [16.03.55]
- 6 MR. ROUX:
- 7 Thank you, Your Honour, Judge Lavergne, for your question, and
- 8 thank you to the Co-Prosecutor for his answer which, indeed,
- 9 justifies my comments.
- 10 The prosecutor is telling us that we're trying to prove that the
- 11 accused was saying that "we have to crush to pieces". This is
- 12 the translation that has been provided by the Co-Prosecutor's
- 13 office, and earlier on when the Greffier read the same text, he
- 14 said, "We have to pursue without end". Therefore, it is not
- 15 exactly the same thing.
- 16 But I would like to add following Judge Lavergne's question, that
- 17 there are about 10,000 confessions maybe that have been annotated
- 18 by the accused. So if the prosecutor is trying to demonstrate
- 19 that the accused annotated confessions, well, we're not going to
- 20 spend three months on this, and he always acknowledged this. He
- 21 always acknowledged that he annotated confessions and he
- 22 presented his excuses to the victims specifically for that
- 23 reason.
- 24 MR. BATES:
- 25 Once again, Mr. President, the Co-Prosecutors have a duty of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 93

- 1 proving this case against the accused. We have no intention of
- 2 going through each and every one of the confessions annotated by
- 3 the accused, but at this early stage when we are discussing the
- 4 issue of the establishment of S-21 -- and these confessions
- 5 clearly date from that period, specifically August, September,
- 6 October 1975 -- it was the intention of the Co-Prosecutors to
- 7 raise these documents, to have them confirmed by the accused to
- 8 show that, indeed, from those early days, this is what he was
- 9 doing.
- 10 And with, Mr. President, your leave, I will move on to the next
- 11 point.
- 12 The final confession from this early period that I wish to put
- 13 before the Chamber, again, with the leave of the President,
- 14 relates to a confession of Bunn Narak. And, please, if the
- 15 audiovisual unit can display on the screen from the computer of
- 16 Mr. Ford -- if you'll bear with us a moment. Thank you.
- 17 [16.07.17]
- 18 BY MR. BATES:
- 19 Q. This one is a confession from Bunn Narak -- this document --
- 20 and can I invite the accused to look at the following page,
- 21 00226280. I'm sorry, starts with a 226279 and going over the
- 22 page to 80.
- 23 And if the accused could please look at that handwriting and
- 24 confirm whose handwriting it is?
- 25 A. This is my handwriting.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 94

- 1 Q.We have filed translations on the case file. In English, in
- 2 relation to the particular piece, I will request the Greffier to
- 3 read at 00283991, and in French, 00294491, and if please, Mr.
- 4 Greffier, you could read the points -- point number Roman four
- 5 onwards at page 00226280; the passage in the red box.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Kolvuthy, can you read the document?
- 8 [16.09.22]
- 9 THE GREFFIER:
- 10 "IV: Request:
- 11 1: Request to arrest Cham Sam-Oeun, former Secretary of the
- 12 National Bank, member of the Democratic Party, in Ksom, Banteay
- 13 Dek subdistrict, District 16.
- 14 Number 2: Request to follow Houl Hour and Lim Sarom whose names
- 15 Bunn Narak appointed, and to follow up Vuon Heang, the wife's
- 16 name was Bun Nunnary who were the elder sister-in-law and the
- 17 brother-in-law of the confessor, who used to be the forest
- 18 official and currently living in Lek Pi village, Dei Eth
- 19 subdistrict, District 16.
- 20 Number 3: Request M-15 to search for member of the democratic --
- 21 of the other -- of the other members of the democratic committees
- 22 who changed their stance to oppose us. Dated 27 October '75."
- 23 BY MR. BATES:
- 24 Q.My question to the accused is -- thank you to the Greffier --
- 25 is at point 3 of the request that has just been read out.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 95

- 1 Did you, in October of 1975 -- in the early phase of S-21 -- have
- 2 the authority to request to other units to search for traitors
- 3 and enemies?
- 4 A. Your Honour, Mr. President, the documents that have just been
- 5 read, I would put a conclusion on those documents before the
- 6 Chamber. First, I would like to confirm that I participated in
- 7 the activities of S-21 in probably October, and for the Sar Phorn
- 8 case, I would like to give details into their case, but now
- 9 everybody seems to understand it.
- 10 I just would like to state that I admit annotated as requested by
- 11 Nat to annotate on the documents of Brother Mam Nai. During that
- 12 period, we were not fully into the S-21 with the full cooperation
- 13 between Nat and myself yet.
- 14 And for the document which has just been read by the Greffier, on
- 15 the word "smash", there was no wording of the word "smash" at all
- 16 in my handwriting.
- 17 [16.13.09]
- 18 This document, I wrote it on the 27th of October 1975. At that
- 19 time my role was the deputy. I was the deputy, so whatever the
- 20 chairman asked me to write, I just wrote it. That is number one.
- 21 For example here, "One, request to arrest Cham Sam-Ouen at the
- 22 Banteay Dek subdistrict." That's District 16. Now it's called
- 23 Banteay Dek district. It's no longer District 16.
- 24 Point number 3, "Request M-15." Let me say M-15 is a security
- 25 office of Sector 15 supervised by Comrade Peng. Let me state

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 96

- 1 that I would like to say about the communication between Nat and
- 2 M-15. Nat wanted to take control of M-15. That was the fact. I
- 3 also would like to state that the surviving documents at S 21,
- 4 they were the methods of interrogation from M-15 kept by Nat. If
- 5 you search into those documents you would find them.
- 6 So in conclusion, these are my handwritings as I was ordered by
- 7 my superior, Nat.
- 8 [16.15.28]
- 9 MR. BATES:
- 10 Mr. President, I have one last question, and perhaps if I can
- 11 invite the audiovisual unit to switch back to the main view.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 The audiovisual unit, please can you facilitate the request by
- 14 the Co-Prosecutor?
- 15 MR. ROUX:
- 16 Mr. President, we have just been hearing -- the accused says that
- 17 in the documents that were produced he never used the word
- 18 "smash". However, we have seen that in the translation that was
- 19 provided to us by the Co-Prosecutors this word exists.
- 20 Therefore, the defence wishes that an answer be provided to its
- 21 question: who translated these documents for the Co-Prosecutors?
- 22 And I'd like to state again in a more specific way, was it DC-Cam
- 23 that provided this translation?
- 24 [16.17.30]
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 97

- 1 The lawyer, I notice your observations. Would you like to make a
- 2 comment?
- 3 MR. KONG PISEY:
- 4 I would like to respond to these particular matters. At that
- 5 time the Greffier, we heard the word "chilat" (phonetic), the
- 6 request to M-15 to search for it. And then the accused heard a
- 7 word "com tet" (phonetic) and then said that the Greffier wrongly
- 8 read the word "com tet" (phonetic) or "smash". So I just would
- 9 like to raise this point to your attention, Your Honour.
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 BY MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Q.And in order to verify this matter, the accused, do you have
- 14 any comments to make?
- 15 A.I would like to inform the President that when I talk about
- 16 "smash," it's related to the document of Oum Soeun, the one that
- 17 was read by Mr. Duch Phary. I did not write the word "smash" in
- 18 that particular document and in the later document, yes, it has
- 19 the word "chhleech" or "to search for", but the word "kamtec" or
- 20 "smash" was in the previous document and I did not write in that
- 21 document. If you referred to the Oum Soeun document you would
- 22 see it.
- 23 [16.19.09]
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 The audiovisual unit, can you link to the computer screen of the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 98

- 1 Co-Prosecutor to show the document of Oum Soeun in order to
- 2 verify this matter clearly; whether it is the mistake of the
- 3 reader or whether it is a mistake in the translation? Please
- 4 display that document before you move on to another document.
- 5 MR. TAN SENARONG:
- 6 Mr. President, the Co-Prosecutor would like to inform the
- 7 President that the translation from the Khmer into the English
- 8 language of this document of the Co-Prosecutor is correct.
- 9 However, probably the translation from the reading of the
- 10 Greffier may be incorrect, but the translation in the document
- 11 provided by the Co-Prosecutors Office is correct. And the
- 12 translation from the slide, if to be read by the Greffier, may
- 13 prove this point, Mr. President.
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Court Officer, please place this document under the projector so
- 16 it can be shown on the screen.
- 17 MR. BATES:
- 18 Mr. President, one final clarification. To our understanding,
- 19 the best of our understanding, this document was translated by
- 20 one of our support staff within the OCP, the Office of the
- 21 Co-Prosecutors, not DC-Cam.
- 22 [16.22.40]
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Where does it show the word "smash"? Because in the Khmer
- 25 handwriting there is no script showing "com tet" or "smash" or

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 99

- 1 similar, so where the "smash" comes from, or was it from another
- 2 document?
- 3 MR. ROUX:
- 4 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 5 We notice, therefore, that in the original Khmer document the
- 6 word "smash" does not exist and we are just learning that the
- 7 translation has been done by the Co-Prosecutor's office and that
- 8 the one who translated this document translated the word into
- 9 smash. And now we are given a document, and I thought that this
- 10 document came from CMS -- that is to say from the official
- 11 translation services -- and in this document which is indexed at
- 12 ERN00296038, in this document we see again the word smash.
- 13 May I please, therefore, suggest that one of the parties
- 14 translates on its own the documents? May this party please warn
- 15 the Chamber and indicate "free translation"?
- 16 I'm explaining this because we have encountered in the -- during
- 17 the investigation several times. And as you see this is not
- 18 neutral, this has consequences, changing one word for another.
- 19 So therefore I have taken note that a priori, as my colleague
- 20 just said, this poor translation has been done, a priori, I am
- 21 being told. But I would be grateful, a posteriori, to verify who
- 22 translated this document and to please inform us about this. Who
- 23 originally translated these documents that the Co-Prosecutors are
- 24 presenting or putting to us and maybe we will be able to move
- 25 ahead in our quest for the truth.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 100

- 1 [16.26.06]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 The Co-Prosecutors, can you understand and can you do that?
- 4 So this is an issue of the translation on your part and it's
- 5 raised by the defence counsel and the purpose is to identify the
- 6 individuals who did the translation of that document at the
- 7 Co-Prosecutors office and to raise this point in the courtroom.
- 8 MR. BATES:
- 9 The Co-Prosecutors can certainly advise the Chamber of, as far as
- 10 it is aware, who translated the documents when these documents
- 11 are presented to the Chamber.
- 12 Before I do that, it's noted everywhere in these Chambers that
- 13 there is a mountain of paperwork to translate; that all parties
- 14 must do the best they can realistically with the resources they
- 15 have and with the available documents to translate.
- 16 And certain documents have indeed been translated in house by the
- 17 Co-Prosecutors where CMS was too busy or overloaded. I'm of
- 18 course content and happy to say to the defence that these are
- 19 free translations, traduction libre. We can certainly say that
- 20 for this translation.
- 21 [16.27.54]
- 22 In relation to the precise identify of the people who -- or
- 23 person that translated this document, does this Court wish me to
- 24 spell it out in Court or is that what the defence are wishing?
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 101

- 1 The defence counsel, please state clearly because this discussion
- 2 has a lot of technical issues repeatedly relating to the issue of
- 3 the translations and other issues related to the examination of
- 4 documents which could delay state proceedings. Please state
- 5 clearly in order to find the appropriate solution in order to
- 6 avoid these repeated type of issues.
- 7 MR. ROUX:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 9 I am not asking for the name of the person to be stated publicly.
- 10 This would not be suited. I'm only requesting, first of all,
- 11 that the prosecutors tell us when we are facing a free
- 12 translation rather than a translation done by the official
- 13 translation services. And when we are dealing with a free
- 14 translation I would like them to tell us if this translation was
- 15 done in-house or if this translation was done by DC-Cam. I do
- 16 not need the names. Either it has been done in-house; either it
- 17 has been done by DC-Cam. I just want to know that and then we
- 18 will be transparent.
- 19 [16.29.50]
- 20 MR. BATES:
- 21 In-house, Mr. President.
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 The Co-Prosecutors, do you have a number of questions or you only
- 24 have one more question to ask? So please state clearly whether
- 25 you have just one or you have more questions to ask.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 102

- 1 MR. BATES:
- 2 The next question will involve the presentation of a document and
- 3 I wonder if it might be an appropriate time to break now and
- 4 present that first thing tomorrow. I cannot guarantee, of
- 5 course, how long the answer will take.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Now it is time to adjourn for today's session. The Chamber will
- 8 now adjourn.
- 9 And I note the presence of the lawyer for the civil party.
- 10 [16.30.55]
- 11 MR. WERNER:
- 12 Your Honour, can I just say very -- it will take 10 seconds about
- 13 translation and DC-Cam. Can I say one word, please?
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Since you already stood up, yes, be quick.
- 16 MR. WERNER:
- 17 Just to end, of course my colleague know that and I'm not saying
- 18 he said it before, but it's not in-house or outside the house in
- 19 DC-Cam because as you know there was an agreement between DC-Cam
- 20 and this Court, including an agreement confidentially and
- 21 accuracy between DC-Cam and this tribunal. And I know and -- I
- 22 absolutely know that my colleague, the defence, has been
- $\,$ 23 $\,$ contesting this agreement but this agreement exists, and if an
- 24 office is asking DC-Cam under this agreement then it has to be
- 25 considered as in-house.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 13

Case No. 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/TC KAING GUEK EAV 28/04/2009

Page 103

103

- 1 So I just wanted to put on the record that any translation by
- 2 DC-Cam should not be considered as being done outside because
- 3 there is an agreement between the CMS and DC-Cam for the
- 4 translation of these documents.
- 5 It's the only thing I wanted to put on the record. I'm grateful.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Now it's time to adjourn. The Chamber declares the adjournment
- 8 for today's session. And the Chamber will resume tomorrow
- 9 morning on the 29th of April from 9:00 a.m.
- 10 Security guards, bring the accused, Kaing Guek Eav, back to the
- 11 detention facility and bring him back to the courtroom before
- 12 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning.
- 13 The Chamber also would like to inform the participants to attend
- 14 tomorrow's session and please to come before 9:00 a.m.
- 15 The Court is now adjourned.
- 16 (Court adjourns at 1633H)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24